CALFRESH (CF) PROGRAM
REQUEST FOR POLICY/REGULATION INTERPRETATION

INSTRUCTIONS: Complete items 1 - 10 on the form. Use a separate form for each policy interpretation request. If additional space is needed, please use the second page. Be sure to identify the additional discussion with the appropriate number and heading. Retain a copy of the CF 24 for your records.

- Questions from counties, including county Quality Control, must be submitted by the county CalFresh Coordinator and may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility for the county, with a copy directed to the appropriate CalFresh Policy unit manager.
- Questions from Administrative Law Judges may be submitted directly to the CalFresh Policy analyst assigned responsibility to the county where the hearing took place, with a copy of the form directed to the appropriate CalFresh Bureau unit manager.

1. RESPONSE NEEDED DUE TO:
   - ☑ Policy/Regulation Interpretation
   - ☐ QC
   - ☑ Fair Hearing
   - ☐ Other:

5. DATE OF REQUEST: 4/29/13

NEED RESPONSE BY: 5/30/13

6. COUNTY/ORGANIZATION: Lake County

7. SUBJECT: Duplicate Aid

2. REQUESTOR NAME:

3. PHONE NO.:

4. REGULATION CITE(S): MPP 63-504.13, 63-504.4, 63-505.513

8. REFERENCES: *(Include ACL/ACIN, court cases, etc. in references)*
NOTE: All requests must have a regulation cite(s) and/or a reference(s).

9. QUESTION: (INCLUDE SCENARIO IF NEEDED FOR CLARITY):

Mother and children were on TCF in another county. Father reports the children in his home as of 12/16/13 on 1/2/13 via phone. Worker checks MEDS and saw that they are on aid with mom in another county. Worker mailed him the CW8As 1/31/13. Father returns the completed CW8As on 2/8/13. Worker did not call the other county until 3/13. Other county is disGing them eft 4/30/13.
He is entitled to these benefits for his children. We believe he should be supplemented back to 2/1113 when we would have been able to timely add the children to his household. Because the mother's case was TCF, she was not required to report them out of the home. If we had facilitated the disc in the other county, we would have been able to add them to his case eft 2/1/13.
He has since filed for a hearing and provided a written statement by the mother that they have been out of her home since 12112.

10. REQUESTOR'S PROPOSED ANSWER:

There is a concern about duplicate benefits. The overissuance, if it is created for the mother, would be on the other county and the mother correct? Just because we failed to facilitate the children being added to the Father's case timely, does not change the fact that he is entitled to benefits for them starting 2/1/13.

11. STATE POLICY RESPONSE *(CFPB USE ONLY)*:

Per MPP 63-504.132(b), the mother was not required to report the children leaving the home while receiving TCF. ACIN I-41-10 states that not only TCF benefits must be discontinued prior to benefits being issued in another case to avoid duplicate aid situations but that CalFresh overissuances do not exist for TCF benefits.
Therefore, since both counties failed to take timely action, the children could not be added to the father's case until May 1, 2013 only after the April 30, 2013 discontinuance of TCF benefits in the mother's case.

FOR CDSS USE

DATE RECEIVED: May 8, 2013

DATE RESPONDED TO COUNTY/ALJ: May 16, 2013