## DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



February 26, 1986

ALL-COUNTY INFORMATION NOTICE NO. 1-18-86

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

SUBJECT: IN-HOME SUPPORTIVE SERVICES (IHSS) STATEWIDE CASE REVIEW

In July 1985, the Adult and Family Services Operations Bureau (AFSOB) conducted a statewide case review of the In-Home Supportive Services Program. The purpose of this review was to determine the level of statewide compliance with IHSS regulations. Counties are urged to assess their error cases and the statewide review findings to identify problem areas in their own systems and develop corrective actions as appropriate.

With the forthcoming statewide implementation of the IHSS Case Management Information and Payroll System (CMIPS), it is particularly critical that counties review these findings to take this opportunity to eliminate as many potential problem areas as possible to facilitate a smoother conversion to CMIPS.

### Methodology

Data for this review were collected from a statewide random sample of IHSS recipients that received services in the month of May 1985. The sample consisted of 313 cases drawn from 38 counties. Each case was reviewed in the county by AFSOB staff with no recipient contact. Upon completion of review of the sample cases in each county, the reviewer discussed the preliminary findings with county program management. Counties' comments were taken into consideration in developing the final findings.

The sample, although valid statewide, is not large enough to permit analysis of individual county data or to make county comparisons.

The review document consisted of a four-page worksheet (see attached). Questions covered the following areas: application, eligibility determination, income eligibility, needs assessment, program content, notices of action, and protective supervision.

#### Major Findings

Of the approximately 40 items reviewed for the study, the results indicate a high rate of compliance, 90 percent or greater, with state regulations for nearly all items (see tables). These favorable statewide findings indicate that counties have been generally successful in administering the IHSS Program within the parameters of the regulations. Four items are considered to be of concern based on a compliance rate of less than 90 percent. There are an additional six items in the tables which show a rate of compliance of less than 90 percent. However, these items have not been highlighted in this report because of the small number of cases falling into these review categories.

The four items of concern are as follows:

#### 1. Timely Application Processing

An eligibility determination must occur within 30 days of the application. Determinations occurring after 30 days are allowed on an exception basis if a prerequisite disability or blindness determination has not been received in the 30-day period and program linkage cannot be presumed. Such an exception must be properly documented in the case record (Review question II.C. and II.C.(a.); Manual of Policies and Procedures (MPP) Section 30-759.2).

-- Statewide, approximately 21 percent of the cases took longer than the 30-day limit to complete the eligibility determination. None of these cases included documentation of a valid reason for exceeding the 30-day limit. (See Table 4)

#### 2. Timely Needs Assessment

An initial needs assessment must be completed within 30 days following the date of application (Review question IV.A.; MPP Section 30-759.2)

-- Approximately 17 percent of the cases did not meet this requirement. (See Table 7)

#### 3. Timely Eligibility Redetermination

A redetermination of eligibility must occur within 12 months of the previous determination unless a change in the recipient's situation necessitates a redetermination sooner (Review question II.D.; MPP Section 30-755.21).

-- In approximately 12 percent of the cases, a redetermination of eligibility was not completed within the required 12 months. (See Table 4)

#### 4. Notices of Action

Notices of Action to applicants generally must be sent within 30 days of the application (new application). Notices must also be sent to recipients for every reassessment.

- -- For cases involving new applications, approximately 35 percent did not comply with notice of action requirements. Notices were not timely (i.e., not sent within 30 days) for approximately 33 percent of such cases, and no notices were sent in approximately 2 percent of such cases (Review question VI.B.1.; MPP Section 30-759.2).
- -- For cases involving a reassessment where there was no change, approximately 27 percent did not comply with the requirement that a notice be sent (Review question VI.B.2.; Welfare and Institutions Code (WIC) Section 12300.2, and All-County Letter 84-10).
- -- For cases in which a reassessment resulted in a decrease in hours or a discontinuance, approximately 16 percent of the cases did not comply with notice of action requirements. This included approximately 5 percent in which a notice was not sent timely and approximately 11 percent in which a notice was not sent at all. A notice must be sent at least 10 days prior to the effective date of the action, exclusive of the day of mailing and the effective day of the action, to be timely. (Review question VI.B.4.; MPP Section 10-116.32). (See Table 11)

In addition to the above, the case review identified the following two concerns:

- 1. In certain cases a factor other than 4.33 was used to convert "Total weekly hours to be purchased by IHSS" to the "Monthly hours authorized sub-total" on the SOC 293, needs assessment form. The use of a factor other than 4.33 will not correctly convert weekly hours to monthly hours and creates the potential for authorization of the incorrect number of hours; and
- 2. In certain cases the SOC 293 needs assessment form did not reflect all modifications provided at the 1982 statewide implementation training on SB 633 (1981) and AB 223 (1981). Modifications include: (a) deleting the service "Changing bed linen and making bed," as a Related Service and including it within Domestic Services, and (b) calculating domestic hours as a separate monthly factor (not weekly) to be added to the monthly hours authorized sub-total. Again, the potential for authorization of the incorrect number of hours is created by not implementing these modifications.

We thank the counties for their cooperation in completing this review. Any questions regarding the review should be directed to your Adult and Family Services Operations Consultant at (916) 445-0623 or ATSS 485-0623.

LOKEN D. SVIER Deputy Director

Adult and Family Services

cc: CWDA

IHSS REVIEW WORKSHEET		CDUNTY:		REVIEWER:		
,		DISTRICT OFFICE:		REVIEW DATE:	,	
CASE NAME:	CASE NUMBER:		SOCIAL WORKER			
REVIEW THE APPLICATION ON FILE.	I. APPLICAT	ION (SOC 295)		YES	NO	
A. Is there an application on file? (30-009.223) .					Ï	
B. Indicate date of application	/ (MONTH/DATI	/		_		
C. Was the application signed? (30-009.223)	(MONTH/DAT)	:/YEATI)				
D. Was the application deted? (30-009.223)						
	II. ELIGIBILITY DETERM					
A. Indicate type of eligibility (30-755.1)	STATUS ELIG	IBLE INCOME	ELIGIBLE			
B. Is there verification in the case record to substindicate Type: VIEWED M/C C		☐ VIEWED	SSI/SSP			
C. Was the initial determination of eligibility	y completed within 30	days from the date of	of application?			
DATE OF APPLICATION  (a.) If "NO", was there a valid reason for an e	OATE ELIGIBILITY DETERMINA exception? (30-7592)		OF MONTHS			
D. If the case has been open more than 12 month 12 months from the previous recertification of	hs was the latest redeterm eligibility? (30-755.21)	ination of eligibility comp	pleted within			
	<b>-</b> -					
PREVIOUS ELIG. DETERMINATION	CURRENT ELIG. DETERMINATIO	NO.	OF MONTHS		∐ N/A	
	. INCOME ELIGIBLES (S	OC 310, MC 210, SO			∐ N/A	
	. INCOME ELIGIBLES (S	OC 310, MC 210, SO			□ N/A	
	. INCOME ELIGIBLES (S IGIBILITY WAS DETERMINED A	IOC 310, MC 210, SO IS STATUS ELIGIBLE	C 294)		□ N/A	
DO NOT COMPLETE SECTION III. FOR CASES THAT EL	I. INCOME ELIGIBLES (S IGIBILITY WAS DETERMINED A te record for the latest	IOC 310, MC 210, SO IS STATUS ELIGIBLE. eligibility determination	C 294) ? (30-755.262)		□ n/a	
DO NOT COMPLETE SECTION III. FOR CASES THAT ELL  A. Is there a statement of facts in the case  (a.) Was it signed and dated by the applicant  B. Does the case record contain verification	I. INCOME ELIGIBLES (S IGIBILITY WAS DETERMINED A BE record for the latest Corperson acting for the ap of income reported on	IOC 310, MC 210, SO IS STATUS ELIGIBLE. eligibility determination oplicant?	C 294)  ? (30-755.262)  ? (30-755.262)		□	
DO NOT COMPLETE SECTION III. FOR CASES THAT EL.  A. Is there a statement of facts in the case  (a.) Was it signed and dated by the applicant	i. INCOME ELIGIBLES (S IGIBILITY WAS DETERMINED A se record for the latest corperson acting for the ap of income reported on me Eligibility Share of Cor	IOC 310, MC 210, SO IS STATUS ELIGIBLE. eligibility determination oplicant?	C 294)  ? (30-755.262)  ? (30-755.262)  / determination		□	
DO NOT COMPLETE SECTION III. FOR CASES THAT EL.  A. Is there a statement of facts in the case  (a.) Was it signed and dated by the applicant  B. Does the case record contain verification  C. Does the case record contain an IHSS Income	I. INCOME ELIGIBLES (S IGIBILITY WAS DETERMINED A IS record for the latest It or person acting for the ap of income reported on the Eligibility Share of Con	eligibility determination oplicant?	C 294)  ? (30-755.262)  ? (30-755.262)  y determination			
DO NOT COMPLETE SECTION III. FOR CASES THAT EL.  A. Is there a statement of facts in the case  (a.) Was it signed and dated by the applicant  B. Does the case record contain verification  C. Does the case record contain an IHSS Incorundar review? (30-755.264)	I. INCOME ELIGIBLES (S IGIBILITY WAS DETERMINED A te record for the latest or person acting for the ap of income reported on me Eligibility Share of Cor	iOC 310, MC 210, SO IS STATUS ELIGIBLE. eligibility determination oplicant?	C 294)  ? (30-755.262)  ? (30-755.262)  y determination			
DO NOT COMPLETE SECTION III. FOR CASES THAT EL.  A. Is there a statement of facts in the case  (a.) Was it signed and dated by the applicant  B. Does the case record contain verification  C. Does the case record contain an IHSS Inco- under review? (30-755.264)	I. INCOME ELIGIBLES (S IGIBILITY WAS DETERMINED A IS record for the latest If or person acting for the ap of income reported on the Eligibility Share of Control Invice Worker?	iOC 310, MC 210, SO IS STATUS ELIGIBLE. eligibility determination oplicant?	C 294)  ? (30-755.262)  ? (30-755.262)  y determination			
DO NOT COMPLETE SECTION III. FOR CASES THAT EL.  A. Is there a statement of facts in the case  (a.) Was it signed and dated by the applicant  B. Does the case record contain verification  C. Does the case record contain an IHSS Inco- under review? (30-755.264)	i. INCOME ELIGIBLES (SIGIBILITY WAS DETERMINED AS RETERMINED AS RETERMIN	eligibility determination oplicant?  the statement of facts form for the eligibility statement of Section 1 (SOC 293)	C 294) ? (30-755.262) ? (30-755.262) y determination			
DO NOT COMPLETE SECTION III. FOR CASES THAT EL.  A. Is there a statement of facts in the case  (a.) Was it signed and dated by the applicant  B. Does the case record contain verification  C. Does the case record contain an IHSS Incounder review? (30-755.264)	i. INCOME ELIGIBLES (SIGIBILITY WAS DETERMINED AS RETERMINED AS RETERMIN	eligibility determination oplicant?  the statement of facts form for the eligibility statement of facts at fact form for the eligibility statement of facts at fact facts at	C 294) ? (30-755.262) ? (30-755.262) y determination			
DO NOT COMPLETE SECTION III. FOR CASES THAT ELL  A. Is there a statement of facts in the case  (a.) Was it signed and dated by the applicant  B. Does the case record contain verification  C. Does the case record contain an IHSS Incommoder review? (30-755.264)	i. INCOME ELIGIBLES (SIGIBILITY WAS DETERMINED ASSET OF PERSON acting for the latest of income reported on the Eligibility Share of Control of the Income reported on the Eligibility Share of Control of the Income reported on the	eligibility determination oplicant?  the statement of facts form for the eligibility system.  SSMENT (SOC 293)  Ing the date of application of the specification of the specification.	C 294)  ? (30-755.262)  ? (30-755.262)  y determination  fion? (30-759.2)			
DO NOT COMPLETE SECTION III. FOR CASES THAT ELL  A. Is there a statement of facts in the case  (a.) Was it signed and dated by the applicant  B. Does the case record contain verification  C. Does the case record contain an IHSS Incounder review? (30-755.264)	I. INCOME ELIGIBLES (S IGIBILITY WAS DETERMINED A DETERMI	eligibility determination oplicant?  the statement of facts of form for the eligibility of the eligibility of the date of application of the date of applications of the d	C 294)  ? (30-755.262)  ? (30-755.262)  y determination  fon? (30-759.2)  tion? (30-759.2)			
A. Is there a statement of facts in the case  (a.) Was it signed and dated by the applicant.  B. Does the case record contain verification.  C. Does the case record contain an IHSS Incommoder review? (30-755.264)	I. INCOME ELIGIBLES (S IGIBILITY WAS DETERMINED A DETERMI	eligibility determination oplicant?  the statement of facts of form for the eligibility of the eligibility of the eligibility of the date of application of the date of application of the date of applications of the date of app	C 294)  ? (30-755.262)  ? (30-755.262)  y determination  fon? (30-759.2)  tion? (30-759.2)		N/A	
A. Is there a statement of facts in the case  (a.) Was it signed and dated by the applicant  B. Does the case record contain verification  C. Does the case record contain an IHSS Incounder review? (30-755.264)	INCOME ELIGIBLES (S IGIBILITY WAS DETERMINED A DETERMINED	eligibility determination oplicant?  the statement of facts at form for the eligibility  SSMENT (SOC 293)  Ing the date of application owing the date of applications assessment made withing	C 294)  ? (30-755.262)  ? (30-755.262)  y determination  fon? (30-759.2)  tion? (30-759.2)  in 12 months			

C.	The current Needs Assessment under review must contain the following: (30-761.27)	YES	NO
(	1) Recipient Information: Name, Address, City, State, Age, Sex		
(	2) Indication of Physical Functioning		
(	3) Indication of Mental/Emotional Functioning		
(	4) Recipient Living Arrangement		
(	5) Total Weekly Hours		
(	6) Level of Services		
	SEVERLY IMPAIRED NON-SEVERELY IMPAIRED	_	_
{	7) Service Delivery Mathod(s)		
11	L COUNTY L INDIVIDUAL L CONTRACT		
•	8) Authorization Period Indicated		
	S) Social Service Staff Signature and Data		
۵. و	We weekly hours computed correctly? (30-761.272)		
•	To be rucinged by India		
	C4 Star bank Bank Bank Bank Bank Bank Bank Bank B		
	F5s Med. Appt. +Subtotal		
	F5b Alternate Resources +		
	F7 Protective Supervision +		
	F8 Teaching and Dem. +		
	F9 Paramed. Services +		
	Total Weekly Hours		
E. Die	d the recipient opt for restaurant allowence? (3G-757.134.) If "NO" skip to "F"		
(1)	Were service hours from Column To Se Purchased by IMSS Line F2a, b, d, entered on Line 117		
(2)	tool state of the serious administration of the serious seriou		
F. la :	the case under review classified as Severely Impaired? (30-753y) If "NO", skip to "G"		
(1)			Ц
•	F4 8—k (Non-Med. Pers. Serv.) =		
•	P9 Peramedical Services (If hours are indicated in F4c also add hours) =		
•	F2a Preparation Meets =		
6	F2b Meal Clean Up =		•
2 A	Must be 20 hours or more =TOTAL		$\Box$
J. ~ (	monthly hours computed correctly?		<b>∟</b>
	TOTAL METHOD	ONTHLY HOURS	
	V. PROGRAM CONTENT (NEEDS ASSESSMENT AND CASE RECORD)		
A. If the	ne recipient is in a shared living arrangement, were the service hours prorated? (30-763.23) If "NOT" tred living arrangement skip to "B"		
(1)		ī	
. ,	(a.) Is the spouse able to perform certain specified tasks?	$\Box$	
	If "NO", is there verification in the case record describing his/her inability to perform tasks?		
(2)	Is the recipient under 18 years of age and living with his/her parent(s)? If "NO", skip to "8"	ī	
,	(a.) If services purchased from parent were each of the conditions met? (30-763.244)		
	(b.) If services purchased from provider were each of the conditions met? (30-763.244)	] [	]
	(CONTINUED)		_

6.	Were Paramedical Services Allowed? (30-757.19) If "NO", skip to "VI"	YES	<b>№</b>
_	VI. NOTICE OF ACTION (NA 690)		
A	Is the CWD using the form NA 690 series?		
В.	Was the NOA sent timely for the assessment under review?  1. NEW APPLICATIONS — NA must be mailed within 30 days following the date of application (30-759.2).  2. REASSESSMENT-************************************	NOT TIMELY	☐ NO NOA SENT
C.	the effective date of the adverse action.  Was the NOA sent to inform the recipient of a decrease in hours? If "NO", skip to "VII"  (1) Does it contain a reason for the change in hours?  (2) Does it contain a regulation citation for the change?		
	(CONTINUED ON REVERSE)		

Page 3 of 4 pages

# PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION IHSS REVIEW WORKSHEET (CONTINUED)

	VIII. PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION		
A. Fo	or all cases	YES	NO .
(1	For the latest assessment under review, is a need for protective supervision indicated in the Total Need column? If "NO", stop here		
	If "YES", continue.		
(2)	) Indicate the number of hours entered in the <u>Total Need</u> column for protective supervision.		
(3)	Has protective supervision been suthorized? (Hours entered in the column) (To Be Purchased By In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS)		
	(a.) Indicate hours authorized.		
(4)	Does the recipient have a housemate who provides IHSS? (Not a spouse)		
(6)	Does the recipient have an able and available spouse who provides IHSS?		
(6)	ls the recipient receiving the statutory maximum benefit? If "NO", briefly explain the reason(s) (e.g., alternative resources, etc.)		
	(NOTE: This includes recipients who are now receiving protective supervision, but were not receiving it prior to May 1, 1984.)		· 🗆
	has received protective supervision since before May 1, 1984 and		
	has a housemate, but		
	did not receive an increese in authorized protective supervision hours after May 1, 1984.  Is the reason for "NO" increese documented in the case record?  If "YES", briefly indicate the reason.		
(4)	NOTE: If the recipient has a housemate who provides IHSS and there has been an increase in protective supervision hours authorized since the last assessment done prior to May 1, 1984:  (a.) Does the Notice of Action (NOA) granting the increase in hours state that "Hours for protective supervision are authorized based on the Miller v. Woods and Community Services for the Disabled v. Woods court action?  (b.) Was the authorized increase in hours effective May 1, 1984?  If "NO", indicate the effective date.		

TABLE 1
CHARACTERISTICS OF CASES REVIEWED

			CAS	SES
		Characteristic	Number	Percent
		Total - Type of Eligibility	<u>313</u>	100.0
	1.	Status eligible	281	89.8
	2.	Income eligible	32	10.2
	•	Total - Level of Services	<u>313</u>	100.00
	1.	Severely impaired	40	12.8
	2.	Non-severely impaired	272	86.9
	3.	Unknown	1	0.3
		Total - Service Delivery Method	<u>313</u>	100.00
	1.	County	4	1.3
	2.	Individual provider	263	84.0
:	3.	Contract	46	14.7

TABLE 2
CHARACTERISTICS OF CASES REVIEWED

Characteristic	Number	Percent
Services Received - All Cases		
1. Related services	290	92.7
2. Non-medical personal services	222	70.9
3. Medical appointment	137	43.8
4. Alternate resources	4	1.3
5. Protective supervision	12	3.8
6. Teaching and demonstration	4	1.3
7. Paramedical services	11	3.5
8. Restaurant allowance	3	1.0
Services Received - Severely Impaired		
1. Non-medical personal services	39	97.5
2. Paramedical services	4	10.0
3. Meal preparation	36	90.0
4. Meal clean-up	32	80.0

TABLE 3
APPLICATION

	CASES			
		Yes		No
Review Item	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1. Application on file	312	99.7	1	0.3
2. Application signed	308	98.4	5	1.6
3. Application dated	304	97.1	9	2.9

TABLE 4
ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION

			Yes		No
	Review Item	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1.	Verification in case record to substantiate eligibility	306	97.8	7	2.2
2.	Initial determination of eligibility completed within 30 days	247	78.9	66	21.1
3.	If no, valid reason for exception	0	0.0	66	100.0
4.	For cases open more than 12, months, latest redetermination of eligibility completed within 12 months	214	88.1	29	11.9

TABLE 5
ELIGIBILITY DETERMINATION

		CAS	SES
 	Type of Verification	Number	Percent
	Total	306	100.0
1.	Medi-Cal card	205	67.0
2.	SDX file	57	18.6
3.	SSI/SSP award letter	16	5.2
4.	Other	- 28	9.2

TABLE 6
INCOME ELIGIBLES

			CASES			
		WH	Yes		No .	
	Review Item	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
1.	Statement of facts in case record for latest eligibility determination	31	96.9	1	3.1	
2.	Statement of facts signed and dated by applicant or person acting for applicant	31	96.9	1	3.1	
3.	Case record contains verification of income	31	96.9	1	3.1	
4.	Case record contains an IHSS Income Eligibility Share of Cost form	31	96.9	1	3.1	
5.	Share of cost computed	31	96.9	1	3.1	
6.	Share of cost form signed and dated by social service worker	31	96.9	1	3.1	

TABLE 7
NEEDS ASSESSMENT

		CASES			
		Yes		No	
	Review Item	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1.	Initial needs assessment completed within 30 days	260	83.1	53	16.9
2.	Face-to-face contact made within 30 days	295	94.3	18	5.7
3.	Weekly hours computed correctly	265	84.7	48	15.3
4.	Monthly hours computed correctly	294	93.9	19	6.1
5.	For cases open more than 12 months, reassess- ment made within 12 months from prior authori- zation period	218	90.8	22	9.2
6.	Face-to-face contact made within 12 months from previous face-to-face contact	223	92.9	17	7.1
7.	For severely impaired cases, total IHSS hours equal 20 or more	39	97.5	1	2.5

TABLE 8
NEEDS ASSESSMENT

	CASES			
	Yes			No
Review Item	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Needs assessment contains:				
1. Name, address, age, sex	307	98.1	6	1.9
2. Indication of physical functioning	308	98.4	5	1.6
3. Indication of mental/emotional functioning	304	97.1	9	2.9
4. Recipient living arrangement	295	94.3	18	5.7
5. Total weekly hours	<b>29</b> 6	94.6	17	5.4
6. Authorization period	299	9 <b>5</b> .5	14	0.5
7. Social service staff signature and date	306	97.8	7	2.2
			i	

TABLE 9
PROGRAM CONTENT

		CASES			
		Yes		No	
	Review Item	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1.	For shared living arrangements, service hours prorated	125	98.4	2	1.6
2.	Recipient has spouse in home that is not an IHSS recipient	12	9.6	113	90.4
3.	Spouse able to perform certain specified tasks	7	58.3	5	41.7
4.	If no, verification in case record describing his/her inability to perform tasks	4	80.0	1	20.0
5.	Recipient under 18 years of age and living with parents	5	4.0	120	96.0
6.	Services purchased from parent and each condition met	2	66.7	1	33.3
7.	Services purchased from provider and each condition met	1	100.0	0	0.0
8.	Paramedical services allowed	12	3.8	301	96.2
9.	Services allowed in accordance with 30-757.19	11	91.7	1	8.3
10.	SOC 321 contains documentation and verification	10	83.3	2	16.7

TABLE 10

NOTICE OF ACTION

		Yes		<u>No</u>	
	Review Item	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1.	CWD using Form NA 690 series 1)	200	63.9	96	30.7
2.	Hours decreased or case discontinued and Notice of Action (NOA) sent	17	5.4	296	94.6
3.	NOA contains reason for change in hours	17	100.0	0	0.0
4.	NOA contains regulation citation for change in hours	16	94.1	1	5.9

TABLE 11
NOTICE OF ACTION

		CASES					
		Timely		Not			)A
	Review Item		Percent		Percent	Sent Number	Percent
NO un	A sent timely for assessment der review:						
1.	New applications	45	65.2	23	33.3	1	1.5
2.	Reassessment-No change	112	65.5	13	7.6	46	26.9
3.	Increase in hours	32	<b>5</b> 9.3	20	37.0	2	3.7
4.	Adverse action	16	84.2	1	<b>5.</b> 3	2	10.5
:							
					4		
						* Proposition of the Control of the	
						And the section of	

TABLE 12
PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION (ALL CASES)

		CASES			
			Yes		No
	Review Item	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
1.	Need for protective supervision indicated	12	3.8	301	96.2
2.	Protective supervision authorized	12	100.0	0	0.0
3.	Recipient has housemate who provides IHSS (not a spouse)	7	58.3	5	41.7
4.	Recipient has able and available spouse who provides IHSS	0	0.0	12	100.0
5.	Recipient receives statutory maximum benefit	2	16.7	10	83.3.

TABLE 13

PROTECTIVE SUPERVISION
(Cases Opened Prior to May 1, 1984)

			CADLO			
		to the same of the	Yes		No	
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
1.	Increase in protective supervision hours authorized since last reassessment done prior to May 1, 1984	4	40.0	6	60.0	
2.	Recipient received protective supervision before May 1, 1984, had housemate, but did not receive increase in hours after May 1, 1984, and reason for no increase documented in case record	1	20.0	4	80.0	
3.	For recipients with housemates who provided IHSS and received increases in protective supervision hours since last assessment done prior to May 1, 1984:					
	a. NOA sent in accordance with 30-763.632	0	0.0	1	100.0	
	b. Authorized increase in hours effective May 1, 1984	0	0.0	1	100.0	