## DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES 744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



May 25, 1994

ALL-COUNTY LETTER NO. 94-41

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS
ALL CHIEF PROBATION OFFICERS

REASON FOR THIS TRANSMITTAL		
[	]	State Law Change Federal Law or Regulation Change
[}	()	Court Órder or Settlement Agreement
[	]	Clarification Requested by One or More Counties
[	]s	Initiated by CDSSs

SUBJECT:

AID TO FAMILIES WITH DEPENDENT CHILDREN-FOSTER CARE (AFDC-FC)

PROGRAM - NORMAN v. MCMAHON COURT CASE

References: Eligibility and Assistance Standards (EAS) Section 45-101(ee)

In December 1990, the State Court of Appeals issued a ruling in the Norman v. McMahon court case. The Court found that the portion of EAS section 45-101(ee) which provided that former step-parents are relatives for purposes of State AFDC-FC is void. This ruling has been interpreted to mean that a step-relationship exists only during the time of the marriage and that a former step-parent no longer meets the definition of a relative for State AFDC-FC purposes.

Specifically, the Court held that EAS section 45-101.1(ee)(1)(c) was void because it departed from the definition of relative contained in applicable State statute. Relative is defined in Welfare and Institutions Code (WIC) section 11400(m) as a person who can be a caretaker relative of a dependent child under section 406 of the Social Security Act (SSA). This section of the Act identifies a relative as a father/mother, grandfather/mother, brother/sister, uncle/aunt, first cousin, nephew/niece, step-father/mother/brother/sister. However, the Act does not include former step-parents within the definition of relative. While federal regulations expand this definition to include former step-parents, the Court held that because EAS section 45-101.1(ee) enlarged the scope of WIC 11400(m), in that it included parties not mentioned in section 406 of the SSA, that section 45-101.1(ee)(1)(c) was void for State AFDC-FC purposes.

As a result of this Court decision, a former step-parent no longer meets the definition of relative for State AFDC-FC purposes. Therefore, a former step-parent is eligible for State foster care benefits only if they are a non-related legal guardian for the child or are appropriately licensed as a foster care provider. However, it should be noted that a former step-parent will continue to meet the definition of relative for federal AFDC-FC program purposes.

The <u>Norman v. McMahon</u> court ruling applies to cases initiated after December 1990. The <u>California Department</u> of Social Services is currently reviewing both State statute and regulations for potential modification and will notify counties when changes are adopted.

If you have any questions about the <u>Norman v. McMahon</u> ruling or its implementation, please call the Foster Care Policy Bureau at 445-0813.

Sincerely,

MARJORIE KELLY

Deputy Director

Children and Family Services

c: County Welfare Directors Association