STATE OF CALIFORNIA-HEALTH AND WELFARE AGENCY

den and a second

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES 744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

September 2, 1994

ALL-COUNTY LETTER NO. 94-72

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

	REASON FOR THIS TRANSMITTAL			
	[ ] State Law Change			
RS	RS [ ] Federal Law or Regulation Change			
	[x] Court Order			
	[ ] Clarification Requested by One or More Counties			
	[ ] Initiated by CDSS			

SUBJECT: WELCH v. ANDERSON COURT ORDER

REFERENCES: ALL COUNTY LETTERS 94-58, 94-60, 94-71

The purpose of this letter is to provide additional materials and clarification for the implementation of the Superior Court decision in the court case of Welch v. Anderson.

## Notices

Attached to this letter is a general informing notice Temp 2082 to inform the recipients of the reason for the September increase in the AFDC grant. This informing notice can be sent instead of a Notice of Action (NOA) for the September supplemental payments. Also attached is a NA 299 to explain to recipients the increase in the October 1994 AFDC grants. It is not necessary to send a NOA to a recipient who will not have an increase in grant for October. Counties may modify the NOA or the general informing notice upon receiving prior permission from the California Department of Social Services (CDSS). Counties are to use NA BACK 7 with the NOA. Translations of these notices will follow under a separate cover letter.

### Balancing

Counties may balance the court ordered supplemental payments against existing overpayments if the supplemental payments are paid on October 1, 1994 (MPP 44-351.3). Counties that issue supplemental payments prior to October 1, 1994, are not to balance these payments because they are treated as supplemental payments within the current month, rather than as underpayments.

Amounts collected through balancing should be reported on line 3b of the AFDC quarterly Report of Overpayments and Collections (CA 812). If you have any questions about balancing these payments, please call Mr. John Moist of the CDSS Fraud Bureau at (916) 323-0445. Page Two

### Food Stamps

For Food Stamp purposes, the AFDC supplemental payment is to be treated as follows:

Supplemental payments issued in and for the month of September are to be retrospectively budgeted in the month of November. [MPP 63-503.232 (c)(5)(A)]

September supplemental payments issued in the month of October are considered lump sum payments and therefore excluded as income. [MPP 63-502.2 (j)]

If any other change is to be effective with the October allotment, the Food Stamp Notice of Change (DPA 377.4) is to be used to provide individual notice to the household.

If you have any questions about the impact of this court order on Food Stamps, please call Mr. David Badal of the Food Stamp Program Bureau at (916) 654-1405.

Counties will be informed of future developments as early as possible. If you have any other questions on this, please contact Mr. Vince Toolan at (916) 654-1808.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL C. GENEST Deputy Director Welfare Programs Division

Attachments

# WELFARE MAY OWE YOU MONEY

On September 1, 1994, a change in state law lowered your cash aid. A court said that the county cannot lower your cash aid now. The county will send you any back cash aid it owes you.

In the future, the court may say the county was right to lower your cash aid. If the court does, you may have to pay the county back. The maximum aid payments we used and should have used to figure your cash aid are below

Maximum Aid Payment we used for September 1994	Maximum Ald Payment we should have used for September 1994	
293	299	
479	490	
594	607	
	723	
	824	
	926	
	1,017	
	1,108	
	1,197	
1,257	1,286	
	we used for September 1994 293 479 594 707 806 905 994 1,083 1,170	

As your cash aid goes up, your Food Stamps go down. TEMP 2082 (994) - WELCH VS. ANDERSON

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - HEALTH AND WELFARE AGENCY

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

# WELFARE MAY OWE YOU MONEY

On September 1, 1994, a change in state law lowered your cash aid. A court said that the county cannot lower your cash aid now. The county will send you any back cash aid it owes you.

In the future, the court may say the county was right to lower your cash aid. If the court does, you may have to pay the county back. The maximum aid payments we used and should have used to figure your cash aid are below:

Number of eligible persons in the same home	Maximum Aid Payment we used for September 1994	Maximum Aid Payment we should have used for September 1994	
1	293	299	
2	479	490	
3	594	607	
4	707	723	
5	806	824	
6	905	926	
7	994	1,017	
8	1,083	1,108	
9	1,170	1,197	
10 or more	1,257	1,286	

As your cash aid goes up, your Food Stamps go down. TEMP 2082 (994) - WELCH VS. ANDERSON

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - HEALTH AND WELFARE AGENCY

#### DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

# WELFARE MAY OWE YOU MONEY

On September 1, 1994, a change in state law lowered your cash aid. A court said that the county cannot lower your cash aid now. The county will send you any back cash aid it owes you.

In the future, the court may say the county was right to lower your cash aid. If the court does, you may have to pay the county back. The maximum aid payments we used and should have used to figure your cash aid are below:

Number of eligible persons in the same home	Maximum Aid Payment we used for September 1994	Maximum Aid Payment we should have used for September 1994	
1	293	299	
2	479	490	
3	594	607	
4	707	723	
5	806	824	
6	905	926	
7	994	1,017	
8	1,083	1,108	
9	1,170	1,197	
10 or more	1,257	1,286	

As your cash aid goes up, your Food Stamps go down. TEMP 2082 (9/94) - WELCH VS. ANDERSON

NOTICE OF ACTION	COUNTY OF	STATE OF CALIFORNIA HEALTH AND WELFARE AGENCY DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES
(ADDRESSEE)	Cese : Name : Numbor : Worker Name : Number : Telephone :	
		Questions? Ask your Worker.
	****	State Hearing: If you think this action is wrong, you can ask for a hearing. The back of this page tells how.
As of	A. Tot Tot aid. A Wc county \$34 r cash De Tot Tot B. Col Ba: Sp: Ne (i Ov Co Co D. Arr	tai Net Countable Income   tai Net Countable Income   tai Earned Income   bork Expense Disregard   0 Disregard   0 and 1/3 Disregard   0 and 1/3 Disregard   0 and 1/3 Disregard
Rules: These rules apply. You may review them at your wel office: Welch vs Anderson	iare	