UNACCOMPANIED REFUGEE MINORS PROGRAM MONITORING INSTRUMENT REVIEW OF CLIENT RECORDS

I.	Na	me of Agency
II.	Re	view Information
	A.	RPB Reviewer:
	В.	Date of Review (mm/dd/yy):
	C.	Month/Year of Records (Start/End Dates):
III.	Ca	se Record Information
	A.	Alien Registration Number:
	В.	Case Status: Open Closed
	C.	Gender: M F
	D.	If any of the above information is missing, please explain why:
IV.		M Eligibility Determination (45 CFR 400.110-120, ORR State Letter 14-01) Name:
IV.	A.	M Eligibility Determination (45 CFR 400.110-120, ORR State Letter 14-01) Name: Status:
IV.	A.	Name:
IV.	A.	Name: Status:
IV.	A.	Name: Status: 1.
IV.	A.	Name: Status: 1.
IV.	A.	Name: Status: 1.
IV.	A.	Name: Status: 1.
IV.	A.	Name: Status: 1.

		Υє	ear 2: Yes No	Date filed:
			Date due :	Date filed:
			D	
		Υe	ear 1: 🗌 Yes 🗌 No	
		Ini	tial Placement Date:	
	B.	ar	, ,	Inor Outcomes Report (Due each year on or r's initial placement) (Contract Exhibit A, A.
		If r	no, why not?	
		Da		/yy) (Due 30 days after initial placement):
			☐ Yes ☐ No	
	A.		RR-3 Unaccompanied Refugee M <i>.a</i>):	Inor Placement Report (Contract Exhibit A, A
V. Do	cui	ne	ntation Requirements (Contrac	t Exhibit A, A.11, A.13, A.14.b, A.16, A.20.a
		4.	Current Age (mm/dd/yy):	
		3.	Age at Entry into the URM Prog	ram (mm/dd/yy):
		2.	Date of Placement into URM Pr	ogram (mm/dd/yy):
		1.	Birth Date (mm/dd/yy):	
	C.	Αg	ie:	
			Date on ORR designation letter	(mm/dd/yy):
		6.	☐ Non-Citizen Victim of Domes Has Been Granted A U-Visa by	stic Violence or Other Serious Crimes Who USCIS
			Date on ORR designation letter	(mm/dd/yy):
			<u> </u>	

30 days of <i>it A, A.16</i>):
30 days of
ecords) are
ecords) show
:C(

	Vaccinati	ons:			
	☐ Yes	☐ No			
	Date of c	ompletion	(mm/dd/y	yy):	
	If no, why	y not?			
3.	Medical r	eferrals a	nd follow-	ups:	
	☐ Yes	□No			
	If no, why	/ not?			
ŀ.	Dental ch	neck-ups a	annually:		
	Year 1:	☐ Yes	☐ No	Date:	
	Year 2:	☐ Yes	□No	Date:	
	If no, why	/ not?			
5.	Annual p	hysicals:			
	Year 1:	☐ Yes	□No	Date:	
	Year 2:	☐ Yes	□No	Date:	
	If no, why	y not?			
Տ.			overed by Jest denia	Medi-Cal, did the file contain I?	a Treatment
	☐ Yes	☐ No			
			-	service justified?	

F.		ocument that child was referred to ESL instruction within first 30 days from date placement and for at least one semester (<i>Contract Exhibit A, A.11.g</i>):
		Yes No
	lf r	no, why not?
G.		ecument that child was referred to and enrolled in school within first 30 days er vaccination (<i>Contract Exhibit A, A.11.f</i>):
		Yes No
	lf r	no, why not?
Н.	Ca	se Management Narrative (Contract Exhibit A, A.11.h, A.14.b):
	1.	Document showing contact (email, telephone, or face-to-face) at least once per semester with minor's teacher:
		☐ Yes ☐ No
		If yes, how (i.e., email or phone)?
		If no, why not?
	2.	Face-to-face home visitations with youth each month documented in case files:
		☐ Yes ☐ No
		If no, why not?
	3.	Tutoring, if needed, as identified in case plan:
		☐ Yes ☐ No

		If no, why not?
		Documentation in case notes or other source of verification:
	4.	Were acculturation and cultural preservation activities for minor and foster families included in the case plan and/or client file (<i>Contract Exhibit A, A.11.i</i>)?
		☐ Yes ☐ No
		If no, why not?
		Note what acculturation and cultural preservation activities were provided to youth and foster families:
l.	rig	ocumentation that URM participant received an explanation of his/her personal hts in the URM program every six months in their own language (Contract chibit A, A.11.k):
		Yes No
	lf r	no, why not?
	Do	ocumentation in case notes or other source of verification:
J.		ocumentation that URM participant received information about the grievance ocedures in his/her own language (Contract Exhibit A, A.11.I):
		Yes No
	lf r	no, why not?

	Documentation in case notes or other source of verification:
K.	Documentation that URM was provided with placement evaluation hearing (equivalent to Administrative Hearings) every six months to provide the youth voice or provide input on current and future placement (<i>Contract Exhibit A, A.13</i>): Yes No If no, why not?
	Documentation in case notes or other source of verification and review of hearing report:
VI. Fo	ester Child Training (Contract Exhibit A, A.9-10)
A.	If the URM youth arrived from overseas, youth received orientation and counseling to help adjust to American culture within the first 30 calendar days of placement (<i>Contract Exhibit A, A.9</i>):
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If no, why not?
	Documentation in case notes or other source of verification:
B.	Minor received monthly training/therapy sessions on topics such as, but not limited to, grief and loss, independent living skills (if appropriate), and acculturation strategies (i.e. review case file documentation or sign-in sheets) (Contract Exhibit A, A.10):
	☐ Yes ☐ No

	If no, why not?
	ndependent Living Program (Contract Exhibit A, A.11.j, A.17-19, All County formation Notice No. I-40-15)
A.	At the age of 16, the URM minor is given the opportunity to participate in the URM Independent Living Program (ILP) and be assessed for appropriate ILP services through the development of a Transitional Independent Living Plan (TILP) or equivalent. The minor has the option to refuse ILP services, but the provider must offer this opportunity to the minor every six months. (<i>Contract Exhibit A, A.17</i>)
	Minor was provided opportunity to participate in ILP:
	Documentation in case notes or other source of verification:
	If no, why not?
В.	Documentation that URM participant received information about the grievance procedures in his/her own language (Contract Exhibit A, A.11.I):
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	If no, why not?
	Documentation in case notes or other source of verification:
C.	For minors 16 and over, a Transitional Independent Living Plan or equivalent wa developed, included in the case plan, and reviewed with youth every 6 months (<i>Contract, Exhibit A, A.19.b</i>):
	☐ Yes ☐ No

	Documentation in case notes or other source of verification:
	If no, why not?
D.	At age 18, the URM youth may emancipate from foster care and participate in the Supported Housing Program. Was this option made available to the youth? (Contract Exhibit A, A.17)
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Documentation in case notes or other source of verification:
	If no, why not?
E.	Youth chose to participate in the Supported Housing Program:
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Documentation in case notes or other source of verification:
	If no, why not?
F.	Youth accessed no more than 24 cumulative months of the Supported Housing Program (Contract Exhibit A, A.17):
	☐ Yes ☐ No

	Documentation in case notes or other source of verification:
G.	If a youth has accessed more than 24 cumulative months of the Supported Housing Program, does he/she meet the requirements for the URM Supported Housing equivalent of the Transitional Housing Program Plus (THP-Plus) extension?
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	This extension was enacted by Senate Bill (SB) 1252 and the amended Welfare and Institutions Code Section 11403.2 to allow each county, at its option, to extend THP-Plus services to former foster youth up to, but not including, age 25 and for 36 cumulative months. All County Information Notice No. I-40-15, dated May 27, 2015 provides information to California counties on the provision.
	To be eligible for the Supported Housing extension a URM must:
	 Be completing secondary education, or an equivalent program, or be enrolled in an institution that provides postsecondary education. The definition of "secondary education" includes vocational education from an accredited vocational institution. A youth must be enrolled at least half-time in order to satisfy this requirement. See Attachment A of All County Letter No. 11-61, dated November 4, 2011, for more information on education requirements.
	2. Meet the eligibility and participation requirements for Supported Housing (i.e. exited foster care on or after his/her 18 th birthday and has entered into and is actively pursuing the goals on the Transitional Independent Living Plan).
	How does the youth meet the education requirements?
	Documentation in case notes or other source of verification:
H.	Youth was provided with information regarding URM equivalent Chafee Education and Training Vouchers, Emancipated Youth Stipends, and other core benefits regarding housing, education, employment and other supportive services (<i>Contract Exhibit A, A.18 and A.19.c</i>):
	☐ Yes ☐ No

Do	Documentation in case notes or other source of verification:				
If	no, why no	t?			
	Did eligible youth participate in URM equivalent Chafee Education and Training Vouchers (up to \$5,000 per year)?:				
] Yes \square	No			
Do	ocumentati	on in case notes or ot	her source of verification:		
lf :	yes, how n	nuch was awarded per	r year?		
Υe	ear 1	Date:			
Υe	ear 2	Date:			
Υe	ear 3	Date:			
Υe	ear 4	Date:			
lf	no, why no	t?			
	Eligible youth participated in URM equivalent Emancipated Youth Stipends Program if needed:				
	☐ Yes ☐ No				
lf :	yes, how n	nuch was awarded pei	r incident?		
In	cident 1	Date:	\$		
In	cident 2	Date:	\$		
In	cident 3	Date:	 \$ 		

K.	Upon exit from program, young adult has knowledge of important documents, (i.e., birth certificate, Social Security Card, school records, etc.) (<i>Contract Exhibit A, A.11.j</i>):
	☐ Yes ☐ No
	Documentation in case notes or other source of verification:
	If no, why not?
VIII.	Extended Foster Care (All County Letter No. 11-69)
A.	Does youth meet the age requirement for extended foster care?
	Documentation in case notes or other source of verification:
	If no, why not?
B.	Does the youth meet one or more of the eligibility criteria? (Completing high school or an equivalency program; enrolling in post-secondary or vocational school; participating in a program or activity that promotes or removes barriers to employment; employed at least 80 hours per month; or is incapable of enrollment in school or employment due to a documented medical condition.)
	Documentation in case notes or other source of verification:
	If no, why not?
C.	Does youth have a Mutual Agreement to participate in Extended Foster Care? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If no, why not?
Are case planning activities, monthly face-to-face visits, and administrative review hearings taking place for the youth in Extended Foster Care?
Documentation in case notes or other source of verification:
If no, why not?
ary/Additional Comments: