


Percentage of CalWORKs Cases with EDD Earnings Adjusted for
 WTW 25 Exempts/WTW 25A Self Employed and Work Study
 Final Pay for Performance Measure #1



**Percentage of CalWORKs Cases with EDD Earnings Adjusted for WTW 25 Exempts and WTW 25A
Self Employed and Work Study
Final Pay for Performance Measure #1**

	State Fiscal Year 2006/07										
	Cases w/ Adults Average	Exempt Adults WTW 25 Average	Adjusted Cases w/ Adults* Average	Cases w/ EDD Earnings Average	Self-Employed WTW 25/A Average	Work Study WTW 25/A Average	Adjusted Cases w/ EDD Earnings** Average	Unadjusted Cases % w/ Earnings Average	Rank	Adjusted % w/ Earnings	Rank
State Total^	187,151	40,237	146,914	69,975	3,772	1,272	75,019	37.4%		51.1%	
Alameda	8,643	1,013	7,630	3,063	98	17	3,178	35.4%	43	41.7%	56
Alpine								25.0%	58	50.0%	44
Amador	123	51	72	45	4	0	49	36.6%	40	68.1%	5
Butte	1,404	247	1,157	530	30	56	616	37.7%	28	53.2%	39
Calaveras	211	34	177	72	11	2	85	34.1%	47	48.0%	48
Colusa	65	27	38	27	0	0	27	41.5%	7	71.1%	3
Contra Costa	3,888	739	3,149	1,439	93	39	1,571	37.0%	38	49.9%	45
Del Norte	375	97	278	146	21	0	167	38.9%	22	60.1%	21
El Dorado	424	111	313	173	20	1	194	40.8%	9	62.0%	16
Fresno	10,640	1,050	9,590	4,082	123	69	4,274	38.4%	25	44.6%	54
Glenn	193	49	144	86	0	2	88	44.6%	1	61.1%	18
Humboldt	809	214	595	271	4	7	282	33.5%	48	47.4%	49
Imperial	1,708	239	1,469	751	13	51	815	44.0%	3	55.5%	34
Inyo	53	18	35	17	2	0	19	32.1%	52	54.3%	37
Kern	4,963	1,310	3,653	2,005	186	54	2,245	40.4%	12	61.5%	17
Kings	971	183	788	392	11	21	424	40.4%	13	53.8%	38
Lake	504	215	289	155	4	2	161	30.8%	55	55.7%	33
Lassen	236	62	174	94	8	9	111	39.8%	16	63.8%	12
Los Angeles	55,235	14,015	41,220	19,192	647	0	19,839	34.7%	44	48.1%	47
Madera	982	329	653	360	20	12	392	36.7%	39	60.0%	22
Marin	358	113	245	137	4	7	148	38.3%	26	60.4%	20
Mariposa	73	24	49	19	6	0	25	26.0%	57	51.0%	43
Mendocino	584	138	446	201	30	2	233	34.4%	46	52.2%	41
Merced	2,950	493	2,457	1,100	46	42	1,188	37.3%	34	48.4%	46
Modoc	78	25	53	29	6	0	35	37.2%	36	66.0%	11
Mono								37.5%	30	80.0%	1
Monterey	1,347	599	748	507	29	22	558	37.6%	29	74.6%	2
Napa	167	53	114	71	3	7	81	42.5%	6	71.1%	3
Nevada	224	78	146	74	17	2	93	33.0%	50	63.7%	13
Orange	4,661	747	3,914	2,054	91	98	2,243	44.1%	2	57.3%	27
Placer	710	169	541	306	48	8	362	43.1%	5	66.9%	8
Plumas	68	23	45	21	1	6	28	30.9%	54	62.2%	15
Riverside	8,096	1,917	6,179	3,559	82	2	3,643	44.0%	4	59.0%	25
Sacramento	13,669	2,323	11,346	5,356	378	68	5,802	39.2%	20	51.1%	42
San Benito	285	19	266	113	4	0	117	39.6%	18	44.0%	55
San Bernardino	13,855	3,984	9,871	5,178	535	116	5,829	37.4%	32	59.1%	24
San Diego	10,089	1,559	8,530	4,107	405	171	4,683	40.7%	10	54.9%	36
San Francisco	2,320	825	1,495	758	50	40	848	32.7%	51	56.7%	29
San Joaquin	5,245	461	4,784	2,041	92	34	2,167	38.9%	23	45.3%	53
San Luis Obispo	721	160	561	287	5	18	310	39.8%	17	55.3%	35
San Mateo	810	241	569	303	11	10	324	37.4%	31	56.9%	28
Santa Barbara	1,558	464	1,094	630	62	45	737	40.4%	11	67.4%	6
Santa Clara	6,238	1,296	4,942	2,244	70	11	2,325	36.0%	42	47.0%	51
Santa Cruz	993	303	690	368	37	9	414	37.1%	37	60.0%	23
Shasta	1,170	398	772	479	17	18	514	40.9%	8	66.6%	9
Sierra	18	5	13	5	0	0	5	27.8%	56	38.5%	58
Siskiyou	404	138	266	128	11	10	149	31.7%	53	56.0%	31
Solano	2,633	285	2,348	1,035	71	6	1,112	39.3%	19	47.4%	50
Sonoma	1,138	387	751	424	28	47	499	37.3%	35	66.4%	10
Stanislaus	4,258	782	3,476	1,421	15	8	1,444	33.4%	49	41.5%	57
Sutter	478	156	322	192	7	3	202	40.2%	15	62.7%	14
Tehama	469	124	345	183	12	15	210	39.0%	21	60.9%	19
Trinity	85	17	68	33	5	0	38	38.8%	24	55.9%	32
Tulare	5,512	851	4,661	2,013	101	15	2,129	36.5%	41	45.7%	52
Tuolumne	265	32	233	92	29	1	122	34.7%	45	52.4%	40
Ventura	2,588	641	1,947	981	106	51	1,138	37.9%	27	58.4%	26
Yolo	931	163	768	374	44	16	434	40.2%	14	56.5%	30
Yuba	664	236	428	248	18	22	288	37.3%	33	67.3%	7

*Adjusted Cases with Adults = Cases with Adults - WTW 25 Exempts.

**Adjusted Cases with EDD Earnings = Cases with EDD Earnings + WTW 25/25A Self Employed + WTW 25/25A Work Study.

^Totals will not agree with data displayed in columns that have smaller counties' data removed for confidentiality requirements.