

**Independent Living Program (ILP)
Annual Statistical Report for
ILP Eligible Probation and Aftercare Youth
Federal Fiscal Year
October 1 through September 30**

STATEWIDE^{a/}		October 1, 2009 - September 30, 2010 (Version 2)	
Part A. Youth Served and Youth Characteristics During the Year			
For Items 1 - 2 (Cells 1 through 6) count youth who met the criteria for inclusion during the year.			
1. Youth who were eligible for ILP services.....	1	56,318	
a. Of the youth in Item 1, youth in the Probation Department who were eligible for ILP services.....	2	9,134	
2. Youth who received ILP services.....	3	19,857	
a. Of the youth in Item 2, youth who were unwed mothers of at least one child.....	4	1,886	
b. Of the youth in Item 2, youth who were unwed fathers of at least one child.....	5	418	
c. Of the youth in Item 2, youth in the Probation Department who received ILP services.....	6	4,490	
Part B. Program Outcome/Youth Progress During the Year			
For Items 3 - 8 (Cells 7 through 14) count youth who met the criteria for inclusion during the year.			
3. Youth who completed high school/GED or adult education.....	7	4,817	
4. Youth continuing and/or enrolled in high school/GED or adult education.....	8	5,406	
5. Youth enrolled in college.....	9	3,643	
6. Youth who obtained employment (Items 6a plus 6b).....	10	4,444	
a. Youth who obtained full-time employment.....	11	1,295	
b. Youth who obtained part-time employment.....	12	3,149	
7. Youth who are living independently of agency maintenance programs.....	13	5,430	
8. Youth who had an episode of homelessness.....	14	1,763	
COMMENTS			
a/ Counties now reporting: Contra Costa, Placer and Sonoma.			

Independent Living Program (ILP) Annual Statistical Report for ILP Eligible Probation and Aftercare Youth
Federal Fiscal Year October 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010 (Version 2)

SOC405A.1	PART A. YOUTHS SERVED AND YOUTH CHARACTERISTICS DURING THE YEAR						
	1. Youth who were eligible for ILP services	1a. Youth in probation who were eligible for ILP services	2. Youth who received ILP services	2a. Youth who were unwed mothers of at least 1 child	2b. Youth who were unwed fathers of at least 1 child	2c. Youth in probation who received ILP services	3. Youth who completed high school/GED or adult education
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Statewide	56,318	9,134	19,857	1,886	418	4,490	4,817
Alameda	1887	637	1467	199	28	535	360
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	22	0	21	2	0	0	5
Butte	138	10	124	18	5	10	81
Calaveras	78	17	66	3	0	1	14
Colusa	41	21	13	1	1	10	9
Contra Costa <i>al</i>	501	78	501	42	13	68	111
Del Norte	54	18	10	5	0	5	3
El Dorado	96	32	94	5	0	30	15
Fresno	2935	427	1359	71	11	108	158
Glenn	48	2	48	1	0	2	4
Humboldt	145	22	54	8	0	11	27
Imperial	122	58	89	5	3	46	31
Inyo	35	11	22	4	3	11	3
Kern	822	140	312	54	9	82	135
Kings	165	26	43	5	2	18	6
Lake	24	6	24	4	1	6	17
Lassen	104	77	71	6	0	60	30
Los Angeles	28028	3820	4815	547	109	1511	1395
Madera	116	12	83	2	0	12	13
Marin	56	5	48	2	1	5	6
Mariposa	40	20	34	3	1	20	6
Mendocino	79	14	81	5	1	17	34
Merced	204	6	59	4	1	2	5
Modoc	63	46	63	5	2	2	12
Mono	8	1	8	0	0	1	5
Monterey	159	80	123	6	1	4	23
Napa	200	94	98	4	1	42	25
Nevada	111	32	74	5	3	14	11
Orange	1682	387	813	62	13	194	90
Placer <i>al</i>	49	27	122	10	1	32	86
Plumas	17	1	17	0	1	1	14
Riverside	2122	360	1447	35	22	202	517
Sacramento	7358	58	2079	249	42	58	322
San Benito	42	17	18	2	0	9	12
San Bernardino	1950	955	1250	117	55	584	82
San Diego	1478	246	1083	134	30	101	240
San Francisco	1369	119	895	70	16	34	180
San Joaquin	501	128	451	24	8	109	81
San Luis Obispo	186	70	165	11	7	64	93
San Mateo	346	167	90	7	4	86	76
Santa Barbara	154	40	140	15	1	24	24
Santa Clara	437	153	211	36	4	27	127
Santa Cruz	165	27	154	15	3	25	31
Shasta	237	27	230	10	6	22	20
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	48	7	41	7	2	7	12
Solano	23	23	3	0	0	3	0
Sonoma <i>al</i>	296	66	206	21	2	63	69
Stanislaus	718	322	192	4	1	60	40
Sutter	7	7	6	0	0	6	1
Tehama	75	6	75	15	3	6	10
Trinity	33	7	17	3	0	1	16
Tulare	144	115	131	10	0	108	34
Tuolumne	47	10	19	2	0	4	6
Ventura	299	24	87	8	1	13	56
Yolo	225	45	82	1	0	6	19
Yuba	29	8	29	2	0	8	15

Independent Living Program (ILP) Annual Statistical Report for ILP Eligible Probation and Aftercare Youth
Federal Fiscal Year October 1, 2009 through September 30, 2010 (Version 2)

SOC405A.1	PART B. PROGRAM OUTCOME/YOUTH PROGRESS DURING THE YEAR						
	4. Youth continuing and/or enrolled in high school/GED or adult education	5. Youth enrolled in college	6. Youth who obtained employment	6a. Youth who obtained full- time employment	6b. Youth who obtained part- time employment	7. Youth living independently of agency maintenance programs	8. Youth who had an episode of homelessness
	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
Statewide	5,406	3,643	4,444	1,295	3,149	5,430	1,763
Alameda	405	344	269	45	224	184	186
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	16	1	5	3	2	2	0
Butte	12	45	30	9	21	38	46
Calaveras	9	2	7	1	6	11	2
Colusa	4	2	10	0	10	1	2
Contra Costa <i>al</i>	12	78	151	35	116	29	35
Del Norte	9	1	1	0	1	3	2
El Dorado	31	34	46	15	31	36	5
Fresno	445	110	103	24	79	205	72
Glenn	25	5	8	0	8	13	0
Humboldt	5	18	14	9	5	26	10
Imperial	4	12	40	2	38	5	4
Inyo	0	3	6	1	5	3	2
Kern	47	82	119	16	103	232	73
Kings	3	3	6	2	4	0	6
Lake	3	7	8	7	1	17	10
Lassen	63	22	15	6	9	7	1
Los Angeles	1125	1054	1114	325	789	2174	653
Madera	83	5	0	0	0	5	4
Marin	20	17	25	11	14	22	3
Mariposa	13	6	6	0	6	6	5
Mendocino	41	14	32	2	30	3	13
Merced	1	1	0	0	0	2	6
Modoc	49	10	16	3	13	8	7
Mono	1	2	5	0	5	7	1
Monterey	12	14	25	21	4	36	4
Napa	48	11	21	2	19	23	26
Nevada	64	15	20	4	16	7	21
Orange	51	149	139	26	113	179	10
Placer <i>al</i>	28	63	45	14	31	53	7
Plumas	2	11	12	0	12	5	5
Riverside	123	314	196	59	137	330	28
Sacramento	505	70	392	101	291	76	31
San Benito	14	8	4	1	3	12	7
San Bernardino	260	232	374	85	289	595	60
San Diego	651	191	334	238	96	164	32
San Francisco	420	120	215	46	169	175	134
San Joaquin	57	69	53	11	42	142	49
San Luis Obispo	68	49	66	14	52	165	9
San Mateo	19	59	62	23	39	55	31
Santa Barbara	78	25	40	6	34	3	15
Santa Clara	34	97	96	32	64	0	36
Santa Cruz	81	24	48	20	28	126	17
Shasta	217	52	20	5	15	36	9
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	18	14	24	8	16	26	7
Solano	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Sonoma <i>al</i>	116	46	87	23	64	49	5
Stanislaus	3	19	19	4	15	18	12
Sutter	5	1	2	0	2	1	0
Tehama	26	9	10	1	9	14	19
Trinity	6	8	5	5	0	15	6
Tulare	13	23	9	2	7	20	3
Tuolumne	4	5	6	4	2	3	4
Ventura	27	44	40	13	27	46	25
Yolo	25	12	26	9	17	7	1
Yuba	3	11	18	2	16	10	0