

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report

STATEWIDE ^{a/ b/}		August 2006 (Version 2)	
1. Children in placement at least 30 days by the last day of the report month.....	1	3,909	
2. Children AWOL during the report month.....	2	746	
PART A. SAFETY OUTCOMES			
For the children in Item 1, case files that document his/her probation officer had, during the report month:			
3. At least one face to face visit with the child.....	3	3,870	
4. No face to face visit with the child (Items 4a plus 4b).....	4	73	
a. Did have a valid visit exception.....	5	46	
b. Did not have a valid visit exception.....	6	27	
5. At least one contact with the out-of-home care provider.....	7	3,679	
6. No contact with the out-of-home care provider.....	8	265	
7. Received Family Reunification services (Items 7a plus 7b).....	9	3,025	
a. At least one face to face visit with a parent.....	10	1,346	
b. No face to face visit with a parent (Items 7b1 plus 7b2).....	11	1,679	
1) Did have a valid visit exception.....	12	566	
2) Did not have a valid visit exception.....	13	1,113	
PART B. CHILD WELL-BEING OUTCOMES			
For the children in Item 1, case files that document:			
8. A child received a mental health service referral.....	14	2,159	
9. A child received a mental health service.....	15	2,854	
10. A summary of the child's health information was included in the case plan or Health Passport within 30 days of each placement.....	16	1,867	
11. A summary of the child's education information was included in the case plan or Education Passport within 30 days of each placement.....	17	1,789	
12. A summary of the child's health information was included in the case plan or Health Passport within 180 days of each placement.....	18	2,506	
13. A summary of the child's education information was included in the case plan or Education Passport within 180 days of each placement.....	19	2,478	
PART C. PERMANENCY OUTCOMES			
14. Children in Item 1 identified as an Indian (Native American) child.....	20	55	
15. Children in Item 14 determined to be ICWA eligible (Items 15a plus 15b plus 15c).....	21	44	
a. The child was placed in a relative's home.....	22	7	
b. The child was placed with a non-relative Indian family.....	23	3	
c. The child was placed with a non-relative non-Indian family.....	24	34	
16. Of the children in Item 1 above, enter the number that have a WIC, Section 601 or 602 identified sibling already in placement.....	25	54	
17. A child was put in placement with a Section 601 or 602 sibling within 30 days of the suitable placement order.....	26	12	
18. A child's placement with a Section 601 or 602 sibling is unsuitable within 30 days of the suitable placement order.....	27	26	
COMMENTS			
a/ Not reporting: Del Norte, Mendocino, Napa, Placer, Plumas, San Francisco, San Luis Obispo and Trinity			
b/ Now reporting: Sierra			

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
August 2006 (Version 2)

Item No.	1	2	3	4	4a	4b	5	6	7
Aug06	Children in placement at least 30 days	Children AWOL during report month	At least one face to face visit w/child	No face to face visit w/child	Did have a valid visit exception	Did not have a valid visit exception	One contact w/out-of-hm care provider	No contact w/out-of-hm care provider	Rec'd Family Unification Services
Data Cell	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Statewide	3,909	746	3,870	73	46	27	3,679	265	3,025
Alameda	215	45	213	1	1	0	215	0	215
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	4
Butte	54	5	49	5	5	0	49	0	49
Calaveras	5	1	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
Colusa	14	0	14	0	0	0	14	0	14
Contra Costa	81	3	81	0	0	0	81	0	53
Del Norte a/									
El Dorado	5	1	5	0	0	0	5	0	3
Fresno	123	10	119	4	2	2	117	1	85
Glenn	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0
Humboldt	19	2	19	0	0	0	19	0	12
Imperial	36	16	36	5	5	0	36	7	36
Inyo	7	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	7
Kern	152	24	152	0	0	0	145	7	75
Kings	16	0	16	0	0	0	16	0	16
Lake	18	0	18	0	0	0	18	0	18
Lassen	36	0	36	0	0	0	36	0	12
Los Angeles	1413	458	1407	5	4	1	1325	82	1015
Madera	20	0	20	0	0	0	20	0	20
Marin	17	4	15	0	0	0	15	0	13
Mariposa	3	1	3	0	0	0	3	0	3
Mendocino a/									
Merced	29	1	29	0	0	0	29	0	29
Modoc	7	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	7
Mono	5	1	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
Monterey	38	2	38	0	0	0	38	0	38
Napa a/									
Nevada	14	0	14	0	0	0	14	0	14
Orange	67	7	67	0	0	0	67	0	26
Placer a/									
Plumas a/									
Riverside	188	15	186	2	1	1	177	9	175
Sacramento	261	35	261	21	5	16	257	0	124
San Benito	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
San Bernardino	317	6	315	5	0	5	275	42	317
San Diego	157	15	142	5	5	0	110	32	142
San Francisco a/									
San Joaquin	88	16	88	0	0	0	88	0	74
San Luis Obispo a/									
San Mateo	74	12	74	0	0	0	74	0	74
Santa Barbara	36	18	36	0	0	0	36	0	36
Santa Clara	83	8	83	2	0	2	83	83	83
Santa Cruz	12	1	12	0	0	0	12	0	8
Shasta	13	3	13	0	0	0	13	0	12
Sierra b/	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	7
Siskiyou	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	2
Solano	13	0	13	0	0	0	11	2	5
Sonoma	49	7	49	0	0	0	40	0	49
Stanislaus	25	12	25	1	1	0	25	0	25
Sutter	10	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	9
Tehama	16	2	16	16	16	0	16	0	10
Trinity a/									
Tulare	76	6	76	0	0	0	76	0	43
Tuolumne	5	0	4	1	1	0	5	0	5
Ventura	48	8	48	0	0	0	48	0	27
Yolo	18	0	18	0	0	0	18	0	18
Yuba	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	5

a/ Not reporting: Del Norte, Mendocino, Napa, Placer,
Plumas, San Francisco, San Luis Obispo and Trinity.

b/ Now reporting: Sierra

CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
August 2006 (Version 2)

Item No.	7a	7b	7b1	7b2	8	9	10	11	12
Aug06	At least one face to face visit w/parent	No face to face visit w/parent	Did have a valid visit exception	Did not have a valid visit exception	Child rec'd a mental health svc referral	Child rec'd a mental health service	Summary child's health info. in 30 days	Summary child's educ. info. in 30 days	Summary child's health info. 180 days
Data Cell	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Statewide	1,346	1,679	566	1,113	2,159	2,854	1,867	1,789	2,506
Alameda	147	68	68	0	124	128	215	173	215
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	4	0	0	0	5	5	1	1	0
Butte	24	25	25	0	49	49	49	49	49
Calaveras	3	2	2	0	5	3	5	5	0
Colusa	0	14	14	0	14	14	14	14	14
Contra Costa	6	47	0	47	1	32	81	81	0
Del Norte a/									
El Dorado	3	0	0	0	1	5	5	5	0
Fresno	37	48	1	47	3	106	3	3	0
Glenn	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
Humboldt	11	1	0	1	3	18	18	18	18
Imperial	29	7	7	0	32	25	25	25	13
Inyo	5	2	2	0	0	7	7	7	0
Kern	29	46	2	44	152	152	152	152	0
Kings	12	4	4	0	0	16	0	0	3
Lake	15	3	3	0	2	4	18	18	12
Lassen	12	0	0	0	0	30	36	36	36
Los Angeles	274	741	150	591	1272	1272	383	383	1413
Madera	7	13	4	9	0	5	20	20	20
Marin	10	3	0	3	0	15	15	15	15
Mariposa	1	2	2	0	0	3	3	3	0
Mendocino a/									
Merced	26	3	0	3	4	25	29	29	29
Modoc	6	1	1	0	7	7	7	7	7
Mono	4	1	1	0	5	5	5	5	5
Monterey	4	34	0	34	38	38	38	38	38
Napa a/									
Nevada	12	2	2	0	0	2	14	14	0
Orange	6	20	20	0	67	67	64	48	56
Placer a/									
Plumas a/									
Riverside	103	72	60	12	78	155	95	96	49
Sacramento	34	90	11	79	26	91	45	45	67
San Benito	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0
San Bernardino	212	105	0	105	60	68	118	97	94
San Diego	55	87	40	47	58	116	41	41	41
San Francisco a/									
San Joaquin	25	49	0	49	11	30	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo a/									
San Mateo	67	7	3	4	15	74	68	68	33
Santa Barbara	23	13	1	12	9	29	36	36	36
Santa Clara	24	59	52	7	83	83	83	83	83
Santa Cruz	7	1	0	1	2	5	12	12	0
Shasta	7	5	4	1	0	5	13	13	13
Sierra b/	7	0	0	0	7	7	2	2	5
Siskiyou	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Solano	3	2	2	0	1	9	7	7	6
Sonoma	8	41	41	0	11	11	49	49	49
Stanislaus	3	22	22	0	0	15	1	2	1
Sutter	6	3	3	0	0	9	10	10	0
Tehama	9	1	0	1	0	16	16	16	16
Trinity a/									
Tulare	22	21	12	9	5	62	2	2	15
Tuolumne	1	4	4	0	1	5	1	0	0
Ventura	21	6	0	6	1	7	48	48	48
Yolo	16	2	1	1	5	13	5	5	0
Yuba	3	2	2	0	2	5	5	5	5

a/ Not reporting: Del Norte, Mendocino, Napa, Placer, Plumas, San Francisco, San Luis Obispo and Trinity.

b/ Now reporting: Sierra

CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
August 2006 (Version 2)

Item No.	13	14	15	15a	15b	15c	16	17	18
Aug06	Summary child's educ. info. 180 days	Children in Item 1 id'd as Indian child	Children in Item 14 to be ICWA eligible	Child placed in a relative's home	Child placed non-relative Indian family	Child placed n-relative n-Indian family	Children w/ 601/602 sib. in placement	Child in placement in 30 days suit.	Child in placement in 30 da.unsuit.
Data Cell	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Statewide	2,478	55	44	7	3	34	54	12	26
Alameda	204	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	49	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
Calaveras	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	14	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Contra Costa	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
Del Norte a/									
El Dorado	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	0	2	1	0	0	1	3	0	0
Glenn	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	18	5	5	1	1	3	5	0	5
Imperial	13	13	13	0	0	13	0	0	0
Inyo	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Kern	0	1	1	0	0	1	8	0	8
Kings	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	12	7	7	1	0	6	0	0	0
Lassen	36	5	5	3	2	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	1413	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Madera	20	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Marin	15	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mendocino a/									
Merced	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Modoc	7	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Mono	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	38	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Napa a/									
Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	54	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Placer a/									
Plumas a/									
Riverside	48	3	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Sacramento	67	1	1	1	0	0	8	2	2
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	79	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
San Diego	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Francisco a/									
San Joaquin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo a/									
San Mateo	33	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Santa Barbara	36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara	83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Cruz	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shasta	13	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
Sierra b/	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
Solano	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sonoma	49	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Sutter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tehama	16	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
Trinity a/									
Tulare	15	2	2	0	0	2	3	0	0
Tuolumne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ventura	48	1	0	0	0	0	6	4	0
Yolo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuba	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

a/ Not reporting: Del Norte, Mendocino, Napa, Placer, Plumas, San Francisco, San Luis Obispo and Trinity.

b/ Now reporting: Sierra

CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau