

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report

STATEWIDE ^{a/ b/}		July 2006 (Version 2)	
1. Children in placement at least 30 days by the last day of the report month.....	1		3,729
2. Children AWOL during the report month.....	2		920
PART A. SAFETY OUTCOMES			
For the children in Item 1, case files that document his/her probation officer had, during the report month:			
3. At least one face to face visit with the child.....	3		3,701
4. No face to face visit with the child (Items 4a plus 4b).....	4		35
a. Did have a valid visit exception.....	5		33
b. Did not have a valid visit exception.....	6		2
5. At least one contact with the out-of-home care provider.....	7		3,672
6. No contact with the out-of-home care provider.....	8		61
7. Received Family Reunification services (Items 7a plus 7b).....	9		2,851
a. At least one face to face visit with a parent.....	10		1,187
b. No face to face visit with a parent (Items 7b1 plus 7b2).....	11		1,664
1) Did have a valid visit exception.....	12		429
2) Did not have a valid visit exception.....	13		1,235
PART B. CHILD WELL-BEING OUTCOMES			
For the children in Item 1, case files that document:			
8. A child received a mental health service referral.....	14		2,079
9. A child received a mental health service.....	15		2,810
10. A summary of the child's health information was included in the case plan or Health Passport within 30 days of each placement.....	16		1,998
11. A summary of the child's education information was included in the case plan or Education Passport within 30 days of each placement.....	17		1,860
12. A summary of the child's health information was included in the case plan or Health Passport within 180 days of each placement.....	18		2,463
13. A summary of the child's education information was included in the case plan or Education Passport within 180 days of each placement.....	19		2,455
PART C. PERMANENCY OUTCOMES			
14. Children in Item 1 identified as an Indian (Native American) child.....	20		41
15. Children in Item 14 determined to be ICWA eligible (Items 15a plus 15b plus 15c).....	21		27
a. The child was placed in a relative's home.....	22		5
b. The child was placed with a non-relative Indian family.....	23		3
c. The child was placed with a non-relative non-Indian family.....	24		19
16. Of the children in Item 1 above, enter the number that have a WIC, Section 601 or 602 identified sibling already in placement.....	25		68
17. A child was put in placement with a Section 601 or 602 sibling within 30 days of the suitable placement order.....	26		21
18. A child's placement with a Section 601 or 602 sibling is unsuitable within 30 days of the suitable placement order.....	27		33
COMMENTS			
a/ Not reporting: Del Norte, Glenn, Mendocino, Napa, Placer, Plumas, San Francisco and Trinity			
b/ Now reporting: Sierra			

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
July 2006 (Version 2)

Item No.	1	2	3	4	4a	4b	5	6	7
Jul06	Children in placement at least 30 days	Children AWOL during report month	At least one face to face visit w/child	No face to face visit w/child	Did have a valid visit exception	Did not have a valid visit exception	One contact w/out-of-hm care provider	No contact w/out-of-hm care provider	Rec'd Family Unification Services
Data Cell	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Statewide	3,729	920	3,701	35	33	2	3,672	61	2,851
Alameda	243	54	231	12	12	0	243	0	243
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	4	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	4
Butte	43	5	38	5	5	0	38	0	38
Calaveras	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	3
Colusa	12	0	12	0	0	0	12	0	12
Contra Costa	84	4	84	0	0	0	84	0	62
Del Norte a/									
El Dorado	4	2	4	0	0	0	4	0	2
Fresno	112	7	112	0	0	0	108	5	70
Glenn a/									
Humboldt	23	1	23	0	0	0	22	1	17
Imperial	22	14	22	5	5	0	22	8	22
Inyo	8	0	8	0	0	0	8	0	8
Kern	169	32	169	0	0	0	169	0	75
Kings	10	1	10	0	0	0	10	0	10
Lake	18	0	18	0	0	0	18	0	18
Lassen	35	0	35	0	0	0	35	0	14
Los Angeles	1,269	599	1,269	0	0	0	1,269	0	973
Madera	19	0	19	0	0	0	19	0	19
Marin	15	2	15	0	0	0	15	0	13
Mariposa	3	0	2	1	0	1	3	0	3
Mendocino a/									
Merced	30	2	30	0	0	0	30	0	30
Modoc	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	6
Mono	6	1	6	0	0	0	6	0	6
Monterey	35	1	35	0	0	0	35	0	35
Napa a/									
Nevada	10	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	10
Orange	72	8	72	0	0	0	72	0	28
Placer a/									
Plumas a/									
Riverside	150	9	148	2	2	0	148	0	120
Sacramento	262	40	257	8	7	1	253	2	58
San Benito	2	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	2
San Bernardino	252	21	252	0	0	0	252	0	249
San Diego	144	16	144	0	0	0	110	34	144
San Francisco a/									
San Joaquin	93	17	93	0	0	0	93	0	70
San Luis Obispo	43	3	41	2	2	0	42	1	43
San Mateo	72	11	72	0	0	0	72	0	72
Santa Barbara	42	18	42	0	0	0	42	10	41
Santa Clara	85	9	85	0	0	0	85	0	85
Santa Cruz	10	1	10	0	0	0	10	0	8
Shasta	17	1	17	0	0	0	17	0	17
Sierra b/	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	6
Siskiyou	7	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	7
Solano	17	7	17	0	0	0	17	0	7
Sonoma	50	12	50	0	0	0	50	0	50
Stanislaus	31	6	31	0	0	0	31	0	31
Sutter	9	0	9	0	0	0	9	0	9
Tehama	16	2	16	0	0	0	16	0	9
Trinity a/									
Tulare	79	5	79	0	0	0	79	0	42
Tuolumne	6	1	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
Ventura	57	4	57	0	0	0	57	0	31
Yolo	20	0	20	0	0	0	20	0	20
Yuba	4	3	4	0	0	0	4	0	4

a/ Not reporting: Del Norte, Glenn, Mendocino,
Napa, Placer, Plumas, San Francisco and Trinity.
b/ Now reporting: Sierra
CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
July 2006 (Version 2)

Item No.	7a	7b	7b1	7b2	8	9	10	11	12
Jul06	At least one face to face visit w/parent	No face to face visit w/parent	Did have a valid visit exception	Did not have a valid visit exception	Child rec'd a mental health svc referral	Child rec'd a mental health service	Summary child's health info. in 30 days	Summary child's educ. info. in 30 days	Summary child's health info. 180 days
Data Cell	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Statewide	1,187	1,664	429	1,235	2,079	2,810	1,998	1,860	2,463
Alameda	159	84	84	0	84	90	236	182	243
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	3	1	0	1	0	4	1	1	0
Butte	11	27	27	0	38	38	38	38	38
Calaveras	2	1	1	0	3	3	3	3	0
Colusa	0	12	12	0	12	12	12	12	12
Contra Costa	4	58	0	58	4	31	84	84	0
Del Norte a/									
El Dorado	2	0	0	0	1	4	4	4	0
Fresno	46	24	0	24	1	98	1	1	0
Glenn a/									
Humboldt	15	2	1	1	5	23	23	23	23
Imperial	19	3	3	0	20	18	22	22	14
Inyo	5	3	3	0	0	8	8	8	0
Kern	20	55	3	52	169	169	169	169	0
Kings	9	1	1	0	0	10	0	0	2
Lake	15	3	3	0	2	4	18	18	12
Lassen	14	0	0	0	0	31	35	35	35
Los Angeles	319	654	2	652	1,269	1,269	333	333	1,269
Madera	9	10	4	6	0	5	19	19	19
Marin	11	2	0	2	0	15	15	15	15
Mariposa	0	3	2	1	0	3	3	3	0
Mendocino a/									
Merced	30	0	0	0	5	25	30	30	30
Modoc	5	1	1	0	6	6	6	6	6
Mono	5	1	1	0	6	6	6	5	6
Monterey	5	30	0	30	35	35	35	35	35
Napa a/									
Nevada	8	2	2	0	1	6	10	10	0
Orange	11	17	17	0	72	72	72	55	62
Placer a/									
Plumas a/									
Riverside	66	54	24	30	56	127	117	112	51
Sacramento	26	32	19	13	19	85	55	55	59
San Benito	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0
San Bernardino	63	186	3	183	49	71	196	135	132
San Diego	41	103	38	65	41	105	38	38	38
San Francisco a/									
San Joaquin	23	47	0	47	14	28	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	15	28	16	12	10	28	42	42	22
San Mateo	51	21	8	13	21	60	61	61	51
Santa Barbara	22	19	2	17	18	33	42	42	42
Santa Clara	26	59	45	14	85	85	85	85	85
Santa Cruz	7	1	0	1	0	9	10	10	0
Shasta	11	6	4	2	0	9	17	17	17
Sierra b/	6	0	0	0	6	6	1	1	5
Siskiyou	3	4	4	0	1	7	1	1	1
Solano	5	2	2	0	2	15	2	2	0
Sonoma	11	39	39	0	8	8	50	50	50
Stanislaus	2	29	29	0	0	31	0	0	0
Sutter	8	1	1	0	0	9	9	9	0
Tehama	9	0	0	0	0	16	16	16	16
Trinity a/									
Tulare	19	23	23	0	9	59	6	6	12
Tuolumne	1	4	4	0	0	5	0	0	0
Ventura	20	11	0	11	3	7	57	57	57
Yolo	19	1	1	0	4	16	4	4	0
Yuba	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	4

a/ Not reporting: Del Norte, Glenn, Mendocino,
Napa, Placer, Plumas, San Francisco and Trinity.
b/ Now reporting: Sierra
CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
July 2006 (Version 2)

Item No.	13	14	15	15a	15b	15c	16	17	18
Jul06	Summary child's educ. info. 180 days	Children in Item 1 id'd as Indian child	Children in Item 14 to be ICWA eligible	Child placed in a relative's home	Child placed non-relative Indian family	Child placed n-relative n-Indian family	Children w/ 601/602 sib. in placement	Child in placement in 30 days suit.	Child in placement in 30 da.unsuit.
Data Cell	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Statewide	2,455	41	27	5	3	19	68	21	33
Alameda	211	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	0
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	38	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5
Calaveras	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	12	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Contra Costa	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
Del Norte a/									
El Dorado	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Glenn a/									
Humboldt	23	4	2	0	1	1	3	0	3
Imperial	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inyo	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
Kern	0	1	1	0	0	1	7	1	6
Kings	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	12	7	7	1	0	6	0	0	0
Lassen	35	5	5	3	2	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	1,269	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madera	19	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Marin	15	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mendocino a/									
Merced	30	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
Modoc	6	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Mono	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Napa a/									
Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	58	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1
Placer a/									
Plumas a/									
Riverside	49	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
Sacramento	59	1	0	0	0	0	13	2	6
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	162	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	0
San Diego	38	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Francisco a/									
San Joaquin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	22	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	0
San Mateo	51	1	1	0	0	1	5	0	4
Santa Barbara	42	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara	85	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Cruz	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shasta	17	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
Sierra b/	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Solano	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sonoma	50	6	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Stanislaus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sutter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tehama	16	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
Trinity a/									
Tulare	12	1	1	0	0	1	5	1	4
Tuolumne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ventura	57	0	0	0	0	0	6	4	0
Yolo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuba	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

a/ Not reporting: Del Norte, Glenn, Mendocino,
Napa, Placer, Plumas, San Francisco and Trinity.
b/ Now reporting: Sierra
CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau