

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report

STATEWIDE ^{a/ b/}		June 2006 (Version 2)
1. Children in placement at least 30 days by the last day of the report month.....	1	3,971
2. Children AWOL during the report month.....	2	1,036
PART A. SAFETY OUTCOMES		
For the children in Item 1, case files that document his/her probation officer had, during the report month:		
3. At least one face to face visit with the child.....	3	3,919
4. No face to face visit with the child (Items 4a plus 4b).....	4	67
a. Did have a valid visit exception.....	5	15
b. Did not have a valid visit exception.....	6	52
5. At least one contact with the out-of-home care provider.....	7	3,734
6. No contact with the out-of-home care provider.....	8	226
7. Received Family Reunification services (Items 7a plus 7b).....	9	2,885
a. At least one face to face visit with a parent.....	10	1,200
b. No face to face visit with a parent (Items 7b1 plus 7b2).....	11	1,685
1) Did have a valid visit exception.....	12	459
2) Did not have a valid visit exception.....	13	1,226
PART B. CHILD WELL-BEING OUTCOMES		
For the children in Item 1, case files that document:		
8. A child received a mental health service referral.....	14	2,121
9. A child received a mental health service.....	15	3,034
10. A summary of the child's health information was included in the case plan or Health Passport within 30 days of each placement.....	16	2,172
11. A summary of the child's education information was included in the case plan or Education Passport within 30 days of each placement.....	17	2,041
12. A summary of the child's health information was included in the case plan or Health Passport within 180 days of each placement.....	18	2,554
13. A summary of the child's education information was included in the case plan or Education Passport within 180 days of each placement.....	19	2,537
PART C. PERMANENCY OUTCOMES		
14. Children in Item 1 identified as an Indian (Native American) child.....	20	52
15. Children in Item 14 determined to be ICWA eligible (Items 15a plus 15b plus 15c).....	21	39
a. The child was placed in a relative's home.....	22	10
b. The child was placed with a non-relative Indian family.....	23	3
c. The child was placed with a non-relative non-Indian family.....	24	26
16. Of the children in Item 1 above, enter the number that have a WIC, Section 601 or 602 identified sibling already in placement.....	25	61
17. A child was put in placement with a Section 601 or 602 sibling within 30 days of the suitable placement order.....	26	18
18. A child's placement with a Section 601 or 602 sibling is unsuitable within 30 days of the suitable placement order.....	27	26
COMMENTS		
^{a/} Not reporting: Del Norte, Glenn, Mendocino, Placer, Plumas, San Francisco and Trinity.		
^{b/} Now reporting: Sierra.		

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
June 2006 (Version 2)

Item No.	1	2	3	4	4a	4b	5	6	7
Jun06	Children in placement at least 30 days	Children AWOL during report month	At least one face to face visit w/child	No face to face visit w/child	Did have a valid visit exception	Did not have a valid visit exception	One contact w/out-of-hm care provider	No contact w/out-of-hm care provider	Rec'd Family Unification Services
Data Cell	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Statewide	3,971	1,036	3,919	67	15	52	3,734	226	2,885
Alameda	241	45	240	1	1	0	241	0	235
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	3
Butte	39	2	37	0	0	0	37	0	39
Calaveras	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	4
Colusa	11	0	11	0	0	0	11	0	11
Contra Costa	82	3	82	0	0	0	82	0	57
Del Norte a/									
El Dorado	5	2	5	0	0	0	5	0	1
Fresno	138	16	138	0	0	0	133	5	91
Glenn a/									
Humboldt	25	3	25	0	0	0	25	0	20
Imperial	28	16	28	5	5	0	28	0	26
Inyo	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	6
Kern	175	32	175	0	0	0	147	8	68
Kings	13	1	13	0	0	0	13	0	13
Lake	20	0	20	0	0	0	20	0	10
Lassen	37	0	37	0	0	0	37	0	11
Los Angeles	1317	726	1288	29	0	29	1288	29	1014
Madera	20	1	20	0	0	0	20	0	20
Marin	16	1	16	0	0	0	16	0	16
Mariposa	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	3
Mendocino a/									
Merced	29	0	29	0	0	0	29	0	25
Modoc	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	6
Mono	6	1	6	0	0	0	6	0	6
Monterey	35	1	35	0	0	0	35	0	35
Napa	18	0	18	0	0	0	18	0	9
Nevada	16	3	15	1	1	0	16	0	15
Orange	72	6	72	0	0	0	72	0	23
Placer a/									
Plumas a/									
Riverside	226	15	226	0	0	0	226	6	210
Sacramento	268	38	255	27	5	22	255	7	74
San Benito	2	1	2	0	0	0	2	0	2
San Bernardino	301	8	301	0	0	0	262	39	146
San Diego	150	17	150	0	0	0	100	50	144
San Francisco a/									
San Joaquin	86	21	86	0	0	0	86	0	63
San Luis Obispo	40	1	39	1	1	0	38	1	40
San Mateo	59	7	59	0	0	0	48	0	48
Santa Barbara	44	20	44	0	0	0	44	0	42
Santa Clara	81	10	80	1	0	1	81	81	81
Santa Cruz	9	0	9	0	0	0	9	0	8
Shasta	15	2	15	0	0	0	15	0	15
Sierra b/	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	6
Siskiyou	9	1	9	1	1	0	9	0	6
Solano	18	3	18	0	0	0	18	0	8
Sonoma	56	8	55	1	1	0	0	0	56
Stanislaus	34	10	34	0	0	0	34	0	34
Sutter	8	0	8	0	0	0	8	0	8
Tehama	15	4	15	0	0	0	14	0	14
Trinity a/									
Tulare	86	3	84	0	0	0	86	0	52
Tuolumne	6	1	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
Ventura	61	6	61	0	0	0	61	0	31
Yolo	20	1	20	0	0	0	20	0	20
Yuba	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	5

a/ Not reporting: Del Norte, Glenn, Mendocino,
Placer, Plumas, San Francisco, Trinity.

b/ Reporting: Sierra.

CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
June 2006 (Version 2)

Item No.	7a	7b	7b1	7b2	8	9	10	11	12
Jun06	At least one face to face visit w/parent	No face to face visit w/parent	Did have a valid visit exception	Did not have a valid visit exception	Child rec'd a mental health svc referral	Child rec'd a mental health service	Summary child's health info. in 30 days	Summary child's educ. info. in 30 days	Summary child's health info. 180 days
Data Cell	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Statewide	1,200	1,685	459	1,226	2,121	3,034	2,172	2,041	2,554
Alameda	140	95	95	0	116	136	240	176	241
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	2
Butte	11	28	0	28	37	37	37	37	37
Calaveras	3	1	1	0	5	5	5	5	0
Colusa	0	11	11	0	11	11	11	11	11
Contra Costa	2	55	0	55	7	7	82	82	0
Del Norte a/									
El Dorado	1	0	0	0	1	4	5	4	0
Fresno	49	42	7	35	1	120	1	1	0
Glenn a/									
Humboldt	13	7	2	5	4	25	25	25	25
Imperial	24	2	2	0	26	17	26	26	26
Inyo	4	2	2	0	0	6	6	6	0
Kern	15	53	3	50	175	175	175	175	0
Kings	10	3	3	0	0	13	0	0	3
Lake	8	2	2	0	0	4	20	20	15
Lassen	11	0	0	0	0	32	37	37	37
Los Angeles	273	741	1	740	1317	1317	394	394	1317
Madera	12	8	4	4	0	6	20	20	20
Marin	13	3	0	3	0	16	16	16	16
Mariposa	2	1	1	0	1	3	3	3	0
Mendocino a/									
Merced	25	0	0	0	3	26	29	29	29
Modoc	5	1	1	0	6	6	6	6	6
Mono	5	1	1	0	6	6	6	5	6
Monterey	5	30	0	30	35	35	35	35	35
Napa	5	4	0	4	0	3	0	0	0
Nevada	8	7	7	0	3	7	15	15	0
Orange	8	15	15	0	72	72	71	60	62
Placer a/									
Plumas a/									
Riverside	122	88	44	44	15	211	201	185	54
Sacramento	30	44	10	34	37	110	49	49	58
San Benito	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0
San Bernardino	90	56	3	53	25	60	210	173	152
San Diego	44	100	52	48	56	102	28	28	28
San Francisco a/									
San Joaquin	25	38	0	38	11	42	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	16	24	14	10	13	28	40	40	40
San Mateo	38	10	4	6	16	50	59	59	29
Santa Barbara	25	17	6	11	8	33	44	44	44
Santa Clara	25	56	47	9	81	81	81	81	81
Santa Cruz	6	2	0	2	0	8	9	9	0
Shasta	10	5	5	0	1	11	15	15	15
Sierra b/	6	0	0	0	6	6	1	1	5
Siskiyou	2	4	4	0	0	9	0	0	0
Solano	7	1	1	0	1	17	2	2	0
Sonoma	13	43	43	0	10	10	56	56	56
Stanislaus	1	33	33	0	0	34	4	3	0
Sutter	7	1	1	0	0	8	8	8	0
Tehama	7	7	3	4	0	13	15	15	15
Trinity a/									
Tulare	28	24	24	0	7	66	15	15	23
Tuolumne	0	5	5	0	0	5	0	0	0
Ventura	19	12	0	12	6	11	61	61	61
Yolo	20	0	0	0	1	20	2	2	0
Yuba	2	3	2	1	1	5	5	5	5

a/ Not reporting: Del Norte, Glenn, Mendocino,
Placer, Plumas, San Francisco, Trinity.

b/ Reporting: Sierra.

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
June 2006 (Version 2)

Item No.	13	14	15	15a	15b	15c	16	17	18
Jun06	Summary child's educ. info. 180 days	Children in Item 1 id'd as Indian child	Children in Item 14 to be ICWA eligible	Child placed in a relative's home	Child placed non-relative Indian family	Child placed n-relative n-Indian family	Children w/ 601/602 sib. in placement	Child in placement in 30 days suit.	Child in placement in 30 da. unsuit.
Data Cell	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Statewide	2,537	52	39	10	3	26	61	18	26
Alameda	224	1	1	0	0	1	3	1	0
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	37	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
Calaveras	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Del Norte a/									
El Dorado	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	0	2	2	2	0	0	2	0	0
Glenn a/									
Humboldt	25	5	4	0	1	3	4	0	4
Imperial	13	3	3	0	0	3	0	0	0
Inyo	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Kern	0	1	1	0	0	1	8	1	7
Kings	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	15	6	6	1	0	5	0	0	0
Lassen	37	5	5	3	2	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	1317	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madera	20	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Marin	16	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mendocino a/									
Merced	29	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	1
Modoc	6	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Mono	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Napa	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Orange	61	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Placer a/									
Plumas a/									
Riverside	49	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Sacramento	58	2	1	1	0	0	7	4	2
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	170	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	0
San Diego	28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Francisco a/									
San Joaquin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	40	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
San Mateo	29	2	1	0	0	1	5	0	4
Santa Barbara	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara	81	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Cruz	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shasta	15	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Sierra b/	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	0	3	3	0	0	3	1	0	0
Solano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sonoma	56	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Sutter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tehama	15	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Trinity a/									
Tulare	23	5	5	1	0	4	6	0	0
Tuolumne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ventura	61	2	0	0	0	0	4	2	0
Yolo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuba	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

a/ Not reporting: Del Norte, Glenn, Mendocino,
Placer, Plumas, San Francisco, Trinity.

b/ Reporting: Sierra.

CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau