

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report

STATEWIDE ^{a/ b/}		May 2006 (Version 2)
1. Children in placement at least 30 days by the last day of the report month.....	1	4,032
2. Children AWOL during the report month.....	2	844
PART A. SAFETY OUTCOMES		
For the children in Item 1, case files that document his/her probation officer had, during the report month:		
3. At least one face to face visit with the child.....	3	4,009
4. No face to face visit with the child (Items 4a plus 4b).....	4	60
a. Did have a valid visit exception.....	5	32
b. Did not have a valid visit exception.....	6	28
5. At least one contact with the out-of-home care provider.....	7	3,835
6. No contact with the out-of-home care provider.....	8	132
7. Received Family Reunification services (Items 7a plus 7b).....	9	2,911
a. At least one face to face visit with a parent.....	10	1,207
b. No face to face visit with a parent (Items 7b1 plus 7b2).....	11	1,704
1) Did have a valid visit exception.....	12	553
2) Did not have a valid visit exception.....	13	1,151
PART B. CHILD WELL-BEING OUTCOMES		
For the children in Item 1, case files that document:		
8. A child received a mental health service referral.....	14	2,105
9. A child received a mental health service.....	15	3,143
10. A summary of the child's health information was included in the case plan or Health Passport within 30 days of each placement.....	16	2,215
11. A summary of the child's education information was included in the case plan or Education Passport within 30 days of each placement.....	17	2,103
12. A summary of the child's health information was included in the case plan or Health Passport within 180 days of each placement.....	18	2,595
13. A summary of the child's education information was included in the case plan or Education Passport within 180 days of each placement.....	19	2,558
PART C. PERMANENCY OUTCOMES		
14. Children in Item 1 identified as an Indian (Native American) child.....	20	55
15. Children in Item 14 determined to be ICWA eligible (Items 15a plus 15b plus 15c).....	21	35
a. The child was placed in a relative's home.....	22	7
b. The child was placed with a non-relative Indian family.....	23	3
c. The child was placed with a non-relative non-Indian family.....	24	25
16. Of the children in Item 1 above, enter the number that have a WIC, Section 601 or 602 identified sibling already in placement.....	25	76
17. A child was put in placement with a Section 601 or 602 sibling within 30 days of the suitable placement order.....	26	28
18. A child's placement with a Section 601 or 602 sibling is unsuitable within 30 days of the suitable placement order.....	27	32
COMMENTS		
a/ Not reporting: Del Norte, Glenn, Mendocino, Placer, Plumas, San Francisco, Trinity		
b/ Now reporting: Sierra		

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
May 2006 (Version 2)

Item No.	1	2	3	4	4a	4b	5	6	7
May06	Children in placement at least 30 days	Children AWOL during report month	At least one face to face visit w/child	No face to face visit w/child	Did have a valid visit exception	Did not have a valid visit exception	One contact w/out-of-hm care provider	No contact w/out-of-hm care provider	Rec'd Family Unification Services
Data Cell	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Statewide	4,032	844	4,009	60	32	28	3,835	132	2,911
Alameda	238	47	237	1	1	0	238	0	238
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	5	1	5	0	0	0	5	0	3
Butte	38	2	36	0	0	0	36	0	38
Calaveras	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	5
Colusa	9	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
Contra Costa	90	1	90	0	0	0	90	0	73
Del Norte a/									
El Dorado	5	2	5	0	0	0	2	0	2
Fresno	153	8	152	1	0	1	148	4	82
Glenn a/									
Humboldt	25	3	25	0	0	0	25	0	20
Imperial	27	11	27	0	0	0	27	0	27
Inyo	7	0	4	4	0	4	4	3	7
Kern	184	36	183	1	0	1	177	7	67
Kings	12	0	12	0	0	0	12	0	12
Lake	26	1	26	0	0	0	26	0	6
Lassen	36	0	36	0	0	0	36	0	9
Los Angeles	1,287	523	1,287	0	0	0	1,287	0	954
Madera	17	0	17	0	0	0	17	0	17
Marin	16	1	16	0	0	0	16	0	16
Mariposa	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	3
Mendocino a/									
Merced	32	0	32	0	0	0	32	0	32
Modoc	7	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	7
Mono	5	1	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
Monterey	33	6	33	0	0	0	33	0	33
Napa	18	0	18	0	0	0	18	0	9
Nevada	16	3	15	1	1	0	16	0	15
Orange	71	10	71	0	0	0	71	0	27
Placer a/									
Plumas a/									
Riverside	231	20	225	4	4	0	209	0	195
Sacramento	264	39	263	34	14	20	259	2	96
San Benito	3	1	3	0	0	0	3	0	3
San Bernardino	315	24	315	0	0	0	303	12	187
San Diego	165	19	165	7	7	0	128	28	159
San Francisco a/									
San Joaquin	82	28	82	0	0	0	82	0	56
San Luis Obispo	37	1	37	3	3	0	37	1	37
San Mateo	59	7	59	0	0	0	48	0	48
Santa Barbara	52	18	52	0	0	0	52	0	52
Santa Clara	83	8	83	0	0	0	83	0	83
Santa Cruz	9	1	9	0	0	0	9	0	8
Shasta	15	2	15	0	0	0	15	0	15
Sierra b/	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	6
Siskiyou	14	1	13	1	1	0	14	0	6
Solano	22	0	22	0	0	0	22	0	8
Sonoma	60	2	60	0	0	0	0	60	60
Stanislaus	31	5	31	0	0	0	31	0	31
Sutter	8	0	8	0	0	0	8	0	8
Tehama	16	3	16	0	0	0	16	0	12
Trinity a/									
Tulare	99	2	96	1	1	0	87	7	55
Tuolumne	5	1	4	0	0	0	3	1	5
Ventura	65	4	63	2	0	2	63	2	40
Yolo	20	1	20	0	0	0	20	0	20
Yuba	5	1	5	0	0	0	0	5	5

a/ Not reporting: Del Norte, Glenn, Mendocino, Placer, Plumas, San Francisco, Trinity.

b/ Now reporting: Sierra.

CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
May 2006 (Version 2)

Item No.	7a	7b	7b1	7b2	8	9	10	11	12
May06	At least one face to face visit w/parent	No face to face visit w/parent	Did have a valid visit exception	Did not have a valid visit exception	Child rec'd a mental health svc referral	Child rec'd a mental health service	Summary child's health info. in 30 days	Summary child's educ. info. in 30 days	Summary child's health info. 180 days
Data Cell	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Statewide	1,207	1,704	553	1,151	2,105	3,143	2,215	2,103	2,595
Alameda	133	105	105	0	108	102	236	174	238
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	3	0	0	0	0	5	5	5	4
Butte	12	26	4	22	36	36	36	36	36
Calaveras	4	1	1	0	6	6	6	6	0
Colusa	0	9	9	0	9	9	9	9	9
Contra Costa	3	70	0	70	4	30	90	90	0
Del Norte a/									
El Dorado	2	0	0	0	1	4	5	5	0
Fresno	34	48	0	48	10	134	10	10	0
Glenn a/									
Humboldt	15	5	4	1	6	23	25	25	25
Imperial	25	2	2	0	25	16	26	26	13
Inyo	3	4	0	4	7	7	7	7	0
Kern	17	50	3	47	183	183	183	183	0
Kings	8	4	4	0	0	12	0	0	4
Lake	1	5	5	0	0	6	26	26	18
Lassen	9	0	0	0	0	33	36	36	36
Los Angeles	291	663	0	663	1,287	1,287	369	369	1,287
Madera	8	9	4	5	1	6	17	17	17
Marin	13	3	0	3	0	16	16	16	16
Mariposa	2	1	1	0	1	3	3	3	0
Mendocino a/									
Merced	32	0	0	0	3	29	32	32	32
Modoc	3	4	2	2	7	6	7	7	7
Mono	4	1	1	0	5	5	5	5	5
Monterey	6	27	0	27	33	33	33	33	33
Napa	5	4	0	4	0	3	0	0	0
Nevada	8	7	7	0	3	7	15	15	0
Orange	13	14	14	0	71	71	70	55	66
Placer a/									
Plumas a/									
Riverside	118	77	51	26	2	205	174	143	81
Sacramento	26	70	22	48	29	124	75	73	65
San Benito	3	0	0	0	0	3	3	3	0
San Bernardino	112	75	68	7	61	157	212	212	157
San Diego	36	123	65	58	53	122	57	57	57
San Francisco a/									
San Joaquin	20	36	0	36	9	40	1	0	0
San Luis Obispo	12	25	15	10	9	22	35	35	37
San Mateo	38	10	4	6	16	50	59	59	29
Santa Barbara	31	21	2	19	8	37	52	52	52
Santa Clara	29	54	42	12	83	83	83	83	83
Santa Cruz	7	1	0	1	2	9	9	9	0
Shasta	10	5	5	0	0	6	15	15	15
Sierra b/	6	0	0	0	6	6	1	1	5
Siskiyou	6	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0
Solano	8	0	0	0	0	22	0	0	0
Sonoma	9	51	51	0	0	0	60	60	60
Stanislaus	3	28	27	1	0	31	4	3	0
Sutter	6	2	2	0	0	8	8	8	0
Tehama	12	0	0	0	0	15	16	16	16
Trinity a/									
Tulare	24	31	28	3	7	75	17	17	26
Tuolumne	2	3	3	0	0	4	0	0	0
Ventura	16	24	1	23	13	13	65	65	65
Yolo	18	2	0	2	1	20	2	2	0
Yuba	1	4	1	3	0	5	0	0	1

a/ Not reporting: Del Norte, Glenn, Mendocino,
Placer, Plumas, San Francisco, Trinity.

b/ Now reporting: Sierra.

CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
May 2006 (Version 2)

Item No.	13	14	15	15a	15b	15c	16	17	18
May06	Summary child's educ. info. 180 days	Children in Item 1 id'd as Indian child	Children in Item 14 to be ICWA eligible	Child placed in a relative's home	Child placed non-relative Indian family	Child placed n-relative n-Indian family	Children w/ 601/602 sib. in placement	Child in placement in 30 days suit.	Child in placement in 30 da. unsuit.
Data Cell	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Statewide	2,558	55	35	7	3	25	76	28	32
Alameda	219	1	1	0	0	1	2	1	0
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	36	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4
Calaveras	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Del Norte a/									
El Dorado	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	0	2	2	2	0	0	2	0	0
Glenn a/									
Humboldt	25	5	4	0	1	3	5	0	5
Imperial	4	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Inyo	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
Kern	0	1	1	0	0	1	10	1	9
Kings	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	18	7	7	1	0	6	0	0	0
Lassen	36	5	5	3	2	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	1,287	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madera	17	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Marin	16	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mendocino a/									
Merced	32	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	1
Modoc	7	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Mono	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Napa	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Nevada	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Orange	64	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Placer a/									
Plumas a/									
Riverside	73	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	1
Sacramento	65	2	0	0	0	0	7	2	1
San Benito	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	157	0	0	0	0	0	19	17	2
San Diego	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Francisco a/									
San Joaquin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	37	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
San Mateo	29	2	1	0	0	1	5	0	4
Santa Barbara	52	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Clara	83	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Cruz	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Shasta	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sierra b/	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	0	5	5	0	0	5	1	0	0
Solano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sonoma	60	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Sutter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tehama	16	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1
Trinity a/									
Tulare	26	7	0	0	0	0	6	0	0
Tuolumne	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ventura	65	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Yolo	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuba	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

a/ Not reporting: Del Norte, Glenn, Mendocino, Placer, Plumas, San Francisco, Trinity.

b/ Now reporting: Sierra.

CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau