Probation Foster Care Placement
Monthly Caseload Statistical Report

STATEWIDE[a/]	February 2009 (Version 2)
1. Children in placement at least 30 days by the last day of the report month	[1] 3,216
2. Children AWOL during the report month	[2] 650
PART A. SAFETY OUTCOMES	
For the children in Item 1, case files that document his/her probation officer had, during the report month:	
3. At least one face to face visit with the child	[3] 3,203
4. No face to face visit with the child (Items 4a plus 4b)	[4] 11
a. Did have a valid visit exception	[5] 10
b. Did not have a valid visit exception	[6] 1
5. At least one contact with the out-of-home care provider	[7] 3,077
6. No contact with the out-of-home care provider	[8] 73
7. Received Family Reunification services (Items 7a plus 7b)	[9] 2,531
a. At least one face to face visit with a parent	[10] 1,542
b. No face to face visit with a parent (Items 7b1 plus 7b2)	[11] 989
1) Did have a valid visit exception	[12] 273
2) Did not have a valid visit exception	[13] 716
PART B. CHILD WELL-BEING OUTCOMES	
For the children in Item 1, case files that document:	
8. A child received a mental health service referral	[14] 1,995
9. A child received a mental health service	[15] 2,524
10. A summary of the child's health information was included in the case plan or Health Passport within 30 days of each placement	[16] 1,980
11. A summary of the child's education information was included in the case plan or Education Passport within 30 days of each placement	[17] 1,911
12. A summary of the child's health information was included in the case plan or Health Passport within 180 days of each placement	[18] 2,031
13. A summary of the child's education information was included in the case plan or Education Passport within 180 days of each placement	[19] 2,031
PART C. PERMANENCY OUTCOMES	
14. Children in Item 1 identified as an Indian (Native American) child	[20] 36
15. Children in Item 14 determined to be ICWA eligible (Items 15a plus 15b plus 15c)	[21] 18
a. The child was placed in a relative's home	[22] 4
b. The child was placed with a non-relative Indian family	[23] 1
c. The child was placed with a non-relative non-Indian family	[24] 13
16. Of the children in Item 1 above, enter the number that have a WIC, Section 601 or 602 identified sibling already in placement	[25] 30
17. A child was put in placement with a Section 601 or 602 sibling within 30 days of the suitable placement order	[26] 4
18. A child's placement with a Section 601 or 602 sibling is unsuitable within 30 days of the suitable placement order	[27] 14

COMMENTS

a/ Counties now reporting: Placer, Sacramento, San Diego, Stanislaus, Tulare, Tuolumne

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
February 2009 (Version 2)

Item No.	1	2	3	4	4a	4b	5	6	7
Feb09	Children in placement at least 30 days	Children AWOL during report month	At least one face to face visit w/child	No face to face visit w/child	Did have a valid visit exception	Did not have a valid visit exception	One contact w/out-of-hm care provider	No contact w/out-of-hm care provider	Rec'd Family Unification Services
Data Cell	**1**	**2**	**3**	**4**	**5**	**6**	**7**	**8**	**9**
Statewide	**3,216**	**650**	**3,203**	**11**	**10**	**1**	**3,077**	**73**	**2,531**
Alameda	177	34	177	0	0	0	177	0	123
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	3
Butte	27	0	27	0	0	0	27	0	27
Calaveras	7	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	7
Colusa	7	1	7	0	0	0	7	0	7
Contra Costa	62	2	62	0	0	0	62	0	4
Del Norte	9	0	9	0	0	0	9	0	9
El Dorado	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	1
Fresno	141	16	138	3	3	0	108	5	78
Glenn	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	3
Humboldt	14	0	14	0	0	0	14	0	14
Imperial	46	17	45	0	0	0	29	0	45
Inyo	4	1	4	0	0	0	4	0	4
Kern	207	26	207	0	0	0	207	0	61
Kings	22	0	22	0	0	0	22	0	22
Lake	15	1	15	0	0	0	15	0	9
Lassen	24	0	24	0	0	0	24	0	24
Los Angeles	876	326	876	0	0	0	876	0	721
Madera	8	1	8	0	0	0	8	0	8
Marin	22	2	22	0	0	0	22	0	22
Mariposa	3	3	3	0	0	0	3	0	3
Mendocino	31	3	31	0	0	0	31	0	17
Merced	18	2	18	0	0	0	18	0	18
Modoc	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
Mono	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2
Monterey	40	4	40	0	0	0	40	0	40
Napa	24	0	24	0	0	0	24	0	24
Nevada	9	1	9	0	0	0	9	0	9
Orange	84	3	84	0	0	0	84	0	30
Placer **a/**	47	5	47	0	0	0	47	0	47
Plumas	7	0	7	0	0	0	5	2	7
Riverside	103	11	103	0	0	0	103	0	103
Sacramento **a/**	178	60	178	0	0	0	154	4	108
San Benito	9	1	8	0	0	0	8	0	7
San Bernardino	179	8	179	0	0	0	179	0	179
San Diego **a/**	158	22	152	6	6	0	96	62	158
San Francisco	113	43	113	0	0	0	113	0	113
San Joaquin	107	13	107	0	0	0	107	0	98
San Luis Obispo	39	1	39	0	0	0	39	0	39
San Mateo	30	3	30	0	0	0	30	0	30
Santa Barbara	26	5	26	0	0	0	26	0	26
Santa Clara	57	7	57	0	0	0	57	0	57
Santa Cruz	9	0	9	0	0	0	9	0	7
Shasta	20	3	20	0	0	0	20	0	20
Sierra	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
Siskiyou	13	0	13	0	0	0	13	0	13
Solano	14	0	14	0	0	0	14	0	6
Sonoma	48	9	48	0	0	0	48	0	48
Stanislaus **a/**	32	4	31	1	0	1	32	0	32
Sutter	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
Tehama	13	5	13	0	0	0	13	0	13
Trinity	3	1	3	0	0	0	3	0	0
Tulare **a/**	40	1	39	1	1	0	40	0	19
Tuolumne **a/**	8	0	8	0	0	0	8	0	8
Ventura	35	4	35	0	0	0	35	0	21
Yolo	22	0	22	0	0	0	22	0	22
Yuba	4	1	4	0	0	0	4	0	4

a/ Counties now reporting: Placer, Sacramento, San Diego, Stanislaus, Tulare, Tuolumne

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
February 2009 (Version 2)

Item No.	7a	7b	7b1	7b2	8	9	10	11	12
Feb09	At least one face to face visit w/parent	No face to face visit w/parent	Did have a valid visit exception	Did not have a valid visit exception	Child rec'd a mental health svc referral	Child rec'd a mental health service	Summary child's health info. in 30 days	Summary child's educ. info. in 30 days	Summary child's health info. 180 days
Data Cell	**10**	**11**	**12**	**13**	**14**	**15**	**16**	**17**	**18**
Statewide	**1,542**	**989**	**273**	**716**	**1,995**	**2,524**	**1,980**	**1,911**	**2,031**
Alameda	94	29	29	0	84	87	177	177	0
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	3	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0
Butte	5	22	1	21	27	27	27	27	27
Calaveras	5	2	2	0	7	7	7	7	7
Colusa	5	2	2	0	0	2	7	7	2
Contra Costa	3	1	0	1	18	23	62	62	62
Del Norte	9	0	0	0	9	9	9	9	9
El Dorado	1	0	0	0	4	0	6	6	6
Fresno	51	27	25	2	17	119	93	67	31
Glenn	2	1	0	1	0	1	3	3	3
Humboldt	5	9	9	0	3	14	14	14	0
Imperial	45	0	0	0	46	46	29	29	20
Inyo	2	2	0	2	4	4	4	4	0
Kern	28	33	8	25	207	207	202	207	5
Kings	15	7	7	0	3	22	3	3	6
Lake	3	6	6	0	0	2	15	15	8
Lassen	24	0	0	0	24	24	24	24	24
Los Angeles	281	440	1	439	876	876	215	215	876
Madera	4	4	1	3	0	7	8	8	8
Marin	11	11	0	11	1	14	17	17	15
Mariposa	3	0	0	0	3	3	3	3	0
Mendocino	17	0	0	0	10	31	31	31	31
Merced	18	0	0	0	0	18	18	18	18
Modoc	5	0	0	0	5	5	5	5	5
Mono	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	0
Monterey	39	1	1	0	40	40	40	40	40
Napa	24	0	0	0	0	24	24	24	0
Nevada	4	5	5	0	1	3	9	0	0
Orange	8	22	22	0	82	81	84	69	57
Placer **a/**	47	0	0	0	0	8	47	47	47
Plumas	2	5	2	3	7	7	7	7	4
Riverside	69	34	34	0	76	103	96	80	81
Sacramento **a/**	42	66	27	39	39	77	48	37	40
San Benito	6	1	0	1	0	8	8	8	8
San Bernardino	179	0	0	0	179	179	179	179	179
San Diego **a/**	54	104	2	102	33	124	66	66	100
San Francisco	113	0	0	0	40	40	40	40	40
San Joaquin	54	44	0	44	0	0	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	39	0	0	0	17	2	39	39	39
San Mateo	25	5	5	0	14	30	27	27	18
Santa Barbara	26	0	0	0	16	16	26	26	26
Santa Clara	33	24	21	3	57	57	57	57	57
Santa Cruz	6	1	0	1	4	9	9	9	0
Shasta	11	9	2	7	0	15	20	20	20
Sierra	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1
Siskiyou	12	1	1	0	1	12	1	1	1
Solano	4	2	2	0	2	9	14	14	0
Sonoma	5	43	43	0	0	2	48	48	48
Stanislaus **a/**	31	1	0	1	10	24	5	8	3
Sutter	4	1	1	0	0	5	5	5	0
Tehama	3	10	10	0	13	13	13	13	13
Trinity	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	3	3
Tulare **a/**	19	0	0	0	0	30	40	40	0
Tuolumne **a/**	8	0	0	0	8	8	8	8	8
Ventura	12	9	0	9	0	18	35	35	35
Yolo	20	2	2	0	1	21	1	1	0
Yuba	2	2	1	1	0	4	0	0	0

a/ Counties now reporting: Placer, Sacramento, San Diego, Stanislaus, Tulare, Tuolumne

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
February 2009 (Version 2)

Item No.	13	14	15	15a	15b	15c	16	17	18
Feb09	Summary child's educ. info. 180 days	Children in Item 1 id'd as Indian child	Children in Item 14 to be ICWA eligible	Child placed in a relative's home	Child placed non relative Indian family	Child placed n-relative n-Indian family	Children w/ 601/602 sib. in placement	Child in placement in 30 days suit.	Child in placement in 30 da.unsuit.
Data Cell	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Statewide	**2,031**	**36**	**18**	**4**	**1**	**13**	**30**	**4**	**14**
Alameda	0	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	27	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Calaveras	7	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
Colusa	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	62	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Del Norte	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	31	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	2
Glenn	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	0	4	4	0	0	4	0	0	0
Imperial	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inyo	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Kern	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6
Kings	6	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
Lake	8	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lassen	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	876	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madera	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marin	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	31	3	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
Merced	18	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	2
Modoc	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Napa	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Nevada	9	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Orange	57	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Placer ***a/***	47	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Plumas	4	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Riverside	81	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sacramento ***a/***	40	1	1	1	0	0	5	0	1
San Benito	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	179	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Diego ***a/***	99	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
San Francisco	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Joaquin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	39	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Mateo	18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	26	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Santa Clara	57	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Santa Cruz	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shasta	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sierra	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Solano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sonoma	48	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus ***a/***	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sutter	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tehama	13	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
Trinity	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tulare ***a/***	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tuolumne ***a/***	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ventura	35	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Yolo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuba	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

a/ Counties now reporting: Placer, Sacramento, San Diego, Stanislaus, Tulare, Tuolumne