

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report

STATEWIDE^{a/b/}		February 2010 (Version 2)
1. Children in placement at least 30 days by the last day of the report month.....	1	2,982
2. Children AWOL during the report month.....	2	557
PART A. SAFETY OUTCOMES		
For the children in Item 1, case files that document his/her probation officer had, <u>during the report month</u>:		
3. At least one face to face visit with the child.....	3	2,965
4. No face to face visit with the child (Items 4a plus 4b).....	4	23
a. Did have a valid visit exception.....	5	12
b. Did not have a valid visit exception.....	6	11
5. At least one contact with the out-of-home care provider.....	7	2,798
6. No contact with the out-of-home care provider.....	8	122
7. Received Family Reunification services (Items 7a plus 7b).....	9	2,406
a. At least one face to face visit with a parent.....	10	1,429
b. No face to face visit with a parent (Items 7b1 plus 7b2).....	11	977
1) Did have a valid visit exception.....	12	244
2) Did not have a valid visit exception.....	13	733
PART B. CHILD WELL-BEING OUTCOMES		
For the children in Item 1, case files that document:		
8. A child received a mental health service referral.....	14	1,613
9. A child received a mental health service.....	15	2,319
10. A summary of the child's health information was included in the case plan or Health Passport within 30 days of each placement.....	16	1,692
11. A summary of the child's education information was included in the case plan or Education Passport within 30 days of each placement.....	17	1,693
12. A summary of the child's health information was included in the case plan or Health Passport within 180 days of each placement.....	18	2,125
13. A summary of the child's education information was included in the case plan or Education Passport within 180 days of each placement.....	19	2,110
PART C. PERMANENCY OUTCOMES		
14. Children in Item 1 identified as an Indian (Native American) child.....	20	31
15. Children in Item 14 determined to be ICWA eligible (Items 15a plus 15b plus 15c).....	21	10
a. The child was placed in a relative's home.....	22	0
b. The child was placed with a non-relative Indian family.....	23	1
c. The child was placed with a non-relative non-Indian family.....	24	9
16. Of the children in Item 1 above, enter the number that have a WIC, Section 601 or 602 identified sibling already in placement.....	25	42
17. A child was put in placement with a Section 601 or 602 sibling within 30 days of the suitable placement order.....	26	5
18. A child's placement with a Section 601 or 602 sibling is unsuitable within 30 days of the suitable placement order.....	27	25
COMMENTS		
<p>a/ Counties now reporting: Kings, San Diego, Trinity and Tulare. b/ County revising: Lassen.</p>		

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
February 2010 (Version 2)

	1	2	3	4	4a	4b	5	6	7
	Children in placement at least 30 days	Children AWOL during report month	At least one face to face visit w/child	No face to face visit w/child	Did have a valid visit exception	Did not have a valid visit exception	One contact w/out-of-hm care provider	No contact w/out of-hm care provider	Rec'd Family Unification Services
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Statewide	2,982	557	2,965	23	12	11	2,798	122	2,406
Alameda	186	44	186	0	0	0	186	0	186
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	4	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	4
Butte	19	3	19	0	0	0	19	0	19
Calaveras	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
Colusa	11	0	11	0	0	0	11	0	11
Contra Costa	73	3	73	0	0	0	73	0	61
Del Norte	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
El Dorado	4	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	2
Fresno	75	6	73	1	1	0	73	0	55
Glenn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	15	1	15	0	0	0	15	0	15
Imperial	28	7	28	0	0	0	28	0	28
Inyo	4	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	4
Kern	191	26	191	0	0	0	191	0	51
Kings a/	23	0	23	0	0	0	23	0	23
Lake	11	2	11	0	0	0	11	0	5
Lassen b/	24	0	24	0	0	0	24	0	24
Los Angeles	866	263	866	0	0	0	866	0	767
Madera	9	7	9	5	0	5	9	0	9
Marin	18	5	18	0	0	0	18	0	18
Mariposa	5	5	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
Mendocino	24	1	24	0	0	0	24	0	12
Merced	10	2	10	0	0	0	10	0	10
Modoc	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	41	7	41	0	0	0	41	0	41
Napa	24	0	24	0	0	0	24	0	24
Nevada	12	1	12	0	0	0	12	0	12
Orange	84	12	84	0	0	0	84	0	41
Placer	39	4	39	0	0	0	8	0	39
Plumas	8	0	8	0	0	0	8	0	8
Riverside	89	5	89	0	0	0	89	0	89
Sacramento	176	47	176	0	0	0	176	0	105
San Benito	10	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	10
San Bernardino	181	5	181	2	2	0	135	17	96
San Diego a/	138	18	124	14	8	6	57	81	138
San Francisco	108	39	108	0	0	0	108	0	108
San Joaquin	99	9	99	0	0	0	99	0	87
San Luis Obispo	25	2	25	0	0	0	25	0	25
San Mateo	35	0	35	0	0	0	35	0	27
Santa Barbara	24	5	24	0	0	0	24	0	24
Santa Clara	61	4	60	1	1	0	61	0	61
Santa Cruz	18	0	18	0	0	0	18	0	10
Shasta	15	1	15	0	0	0	15	0	15
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	12	0	12	0	0	0	12	0	12
Solano	13	2	13	0	0	0	13	0	10
Sonoma	38	7	38	0	0	0	20	18	29
Stanislaus	29	5	29	0	0	0	29	0	18
Sutter	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
Tehama	16	4	16	0	0	0	16	0	5
Trinity a/	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Tulare a/	28	0	28	0	0	0	22	6	16
Tuolumne	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	6
Ventura	19	4	19	0	0	0	19	0	8
Yolo	10	1	10	0	0	0	10	0	10
Yuba	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	0	3

a/ b/ See footnotes in Statewide Comments Section.
CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
February 2010 (Version 2)

	7a	7b	7b1	7b2	8	9	10	11	12
	At least one face to face visit w/parent	No face to face visit w/parent	Did have a valid visit exception	Did not have a valid visit exception	Child rec'd a mental health svc referral	Child rec'd a mental health service	Summary child's health info. in 30 days	Summary child's educ. info. in 30 days	Summary child's health info. 180 days
	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Statewide	1,429	977	244	733	1,613	2,319	1,692	1,693	2,125
Alameda	142	44	29	15	76	89	186	186	186
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	4	0	0	0	4	4	2	2	0
Butte	3	16	2	14	19	19	19	19	19
Calaveras	5	0	0	0	5	5	5	5	5
Colusa	8	3	2	1	0	2	11	11	10
Contra Costa	4	57	0	57	45	56	73	73	73
Del Norte	4	1	1	0	5	5	5	5	5
El Dorado	2	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	4
Fresno	42	13	10	3	31	58	28	28	31
Glenn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	7	8	8	0	0	13	15	15	0
Imperial	28	0	0	0	28	16	16	16	16
Inyo	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	0
Kern	33	18	7	11	98	191	160	166	31
Kings a/	19	4	4	0	1	23	1	1	9
Lake	1	4	4	0	0	0	6	6	5
Lassen b/	24	0	0	0	24	24	24	24	24
Los Angeles	342	425	2	423	866	866	173	173	866
Madera	6	3	2	1	9	9	9	9	9
Marin	12	6	3	3	0	18	18	18	18
Mariposa	5	0	0	0	5	5	5	5	0
Mendocino	12	0	0	0	12	24	24	24	24
Merced	9	1	0	1	0	10	10	10	0
Modoc	5	0	0	0	5	5	5	5	5
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	41	0	0	0	41	41	41	41	41
Napa	20	4	1	3	3	24	24	24	0
Nevada	6	6	6	0	0	4	12	12	12
Orange	26	15	15	0	70	81	60	53	63
Placer	39	0	0	0	0	5	39	39	39
Plumas	8	0	0	0	8	8	8	8	5
Riverside	63	26	26	0	14	75	37	37	48
Sacramento	62	43	0	43	85	176	124	126	52
San Benito	10	0	0	0	1	9	10	10	10
San Bernardino	72	24	16	8	19	60	84	84	84
San Diego a/	18	120	12	108	14	45	59	59	77
San Francisco	76	32	30	2	7	67	108	108	108
San Joaquin	59	28	0	28	0	0	0	0	0
San Luis Obispo	25	0	0	0	12	14	25	25	25
San Mateo	27	0	0	0	6	29	31	31	15
Santa Barbara	23	1	1	0	0	24	24	24	24
Santa Clara	43	18	17	1	61	61	61	61	61
Santa Cruz	6	4	0	4	5	15	18	18	18
Shasta	9	6	6	0	0	11	15	15	15
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	9	3	3	0	0	12	0	0	0
Solano	0	10	10	0	0	11	6	6	0
Sonoma	6	23	23	0	5	5	38	38	38
Stanislaus	18	0	0	0	5	20	0	0	0
Sutter	4	1	1	0	5	5	5	5	5
Tehama	5	0	0	0	0	16	16	16	16
Trinity a/	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
Tulare a/	15	1	1	0	12	18	17	17	2
Tuolumne	5	1	1	0	6	5	6	6	6
Ventura	2	6	0	6	1	17	19	19	19
Yolo	10	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
Yuba	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	1

a/ b/ See footnotes in Statewide Comments Section.
CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
February 2010 (Version 2)

	13	14	15	15a	15b	15c	16	17	18
	Summary child's educ. info. 180 days	Children in Item 1 id'd as Indian child	Children in Item 14 to be ICWA eligible	Child placed in a relative's home	Child placed non-relative Indian family	Child placed n-relative n-Indian family	Children w/ 601/602 sib. in placement	Child in placement in 30 days suit.	Child in placement in 30 da. unsuit.
	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Statewide	2,110	31	10	0	1	9	42	5	25
Alameda	186	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calaveras	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
Contra Costa	73	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Del Norte	5	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
El Dorado	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	31	1	1	0	1	0	3	0	1
Glenn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Imperial	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inyo	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
Kern	25	2	0	0	0	0	8	0	8
Kings <i>a/</i>	9	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lassen <i>b/</i>	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	866	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Madera	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marin	18	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	24	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Merced	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
Modoc	5	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
Mono	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monterey	41	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Napa	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Nevada	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	63	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Placer	39	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Plumas	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riverside	48	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sacramento	50	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
San Benito	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	84	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Diego <i>a/</i>	77	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0
San Francisco	108	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	8
San Joaquin	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2
San Luis Obispo	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Mateo	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	24	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Santa Clara	61	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Cruz	18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shasta	15	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Solano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sonoma	38	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sutter	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tehama	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trinity <i>a/</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tulare <i>a/</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3
Tuolumne	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ventura	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yolo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuba	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

a/ b/ See footnotes in Statewide Comments Section.
CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau