

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report

STATEWIDE ^{a/}		June 2011 (Version 2)	
1. Children in placement at least 30 days by the last day of the report month.....	1	2,678	
2. Children AWOL during the report month.....	2	433	
PART A. SAFETY OUTCOMES			
For the children in Item 1, case files that document his/her probation officer had, during the report month:			
3. At least one face to face visit with the child.....	3	2,659	
4. No face to face visit with the child (Items 4a plus 4b).....	4	105	
a. Did have a valid visit exception.....	5	6	
b. Did not have a valid visit exception.....	6	99	
5. At least one contact with the out-of-home care provider.....	7	2,548	
6. No contact with the out-of-home care provider.....	8	29	
7. Received Family Reunification services (Items 7a plus 7b).....	9	1,950	
a. At least one face to face visit with a parent.....	10	1,206	
b. No face to face visit with a parent (Items 7b1 plus 7b2).....	11	744	
1) Did have a valid visit exception.....	12	234	
2) Did not have a valid visit exception.....	13	510	
PART B. CHILD WELL-BEING OUTCOMES			
For the children in Item 1, case files that document:			
8. A child received a mental health service referral.....	14	668	
9. A child received a mental health service.....	15	1,367	
10. A summary of the child's health information was included in the case plan or Health Passport within 30 days of each placement.....	16	1,320	
11. A summary of the child's education information was included in the case plan or Education Passport within 30 days of each placement.....	17	1,315	
12. A summary of the child's health information was included in the case plan or Health Passport within 180 days of each placement.....	18	1,186	
13. A summary of the child's education information was included in the case plan or Education Passport within 180 days of each placement.....	19	1,198	
PART C. PERMANENCY OUTCOMES			
14. Children in Item 1 identified as an Indian (Native American) child.....	20	28	
15. Children in Item 14 determined to be ICWA eligible (Items 15a plus 15b plus 15c).....	21	12	
a. The child was placed in a relative's home.....	22	1	
b. The child was placed with a non-relative Indian family.....	23	0	
c. The child was placed with a non-relative non-Indian family.....	24	11	
16. Of the children in Item 1 above, enter the number that have a WIC, Section 601 or 602 identified sibling already in placement.....	25	54	
17. A child was put in placement with a Section 601 or 602 sibling within 30 days of the suitable placement order.....	26	15	
18. A child's placement with a Section 601 or 602 sibling is unsuitable within 30 days of the suitable placement order.....	27	11	
COMMENTS			
a/ Counties now reporting: Placer and San Francisco.			

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
June 2011 (Version 2)

	1	2	3	4	4a	4b	5	6	7
	Children in placement at least 30 days	Children AWOL during report month	At least one face to face visit w/child	No face to face visit w/child	Did have a valid visit exception	Did not have a valid visit exception	One contact w/out-of-hm care provider	No contact w/out-of-hm care provider	Rec'd Family Unification Services
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Statewide	2,678	433	2,659	105	6	99	2,548	29	1,950
Alameda	172	36	172	0	0	0	172	0	144
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	3	1	3	0	0	0	3	0	3
Butte	16	5	11	0	0	0	11	0	16
Calaveras	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
Colusa	4	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	4
Contra Costa	53	5	53	0	0	0	53	0	53
Del Norte	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
El Dorado	7	2	7	0	0	0	7	0	3
Fresno	65	5	63	4	4	0	58	1	48
Glenn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	11	0	11	0	0	0	11	0	11
Imperial	32	19	32	0	0	0	32	0	32
Inyo	6	1	5	0	0	0	5	0	5
Kern	164	10	164	0	0	0	164	0	48
Kings	16	0	16	0	0	0	16	0	16
Lake	11	0	11	0	0	0	11	0	6
Lassen	23	0	23	0	0	0	0	0	23
Los Angeles	847	237	846	1	1	0	846	1	704
Madera	14	0	14	0	0	0	14	0	14
Marin	17	1	17	0	0	0	17	0	17
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	19	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	9
Merced	18	1	18	0	0	0	18	0	18
Modoc	4	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	4
Mono	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
Monterey	50	6	50	0	0	0	50	0	50
Napa	16	0	16	0	0	0	16	0	16
Nevada	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	6
Orange	54	7	54	0	0	0	54	0	7
Placer <i>a/</i>	49	4	45	0	0	0	14	0	23
Plumas	9	1	8	1	0	1	8	1	8
Riverside	80	5	80	0	0	0	80	0	69
Sacramento	123	14	123	0	0	0	123	0	67
San Benito	8	0	8	0	0	0	8	0	7
San Bernardino	183	3	181	0	0	0	179	13	74
San Diego	87	3	86	1	0	1	74	12	86
San Francisco <i>a/</i>	96	26	96	96	0	96	96	0	55
San Joaquin	54	5	54	0	0	0	54	0	48
San Luis Obispo	23	2	23	0	0	0	23	0	23
San Mateo	21	3	20	1	0	1	20	1	20
Santa Barbara	21	0	21	0	0	0	21	0	21
Santa Clara	59	14	58	1	1	0	59	0	59
Santa Cruz	11	4	11	0	0	0	11	0	9
Shasta	22	3	22	0	0	0	22	0	22
Sierra	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
Siskiyou	7	1	7	0	0	0	7	0	6
Solano	10	0	10	0	0	0	10	0	9
Sonoma	57	4	57	0	0	0	42	0	18
Stanislaus	57	1	57	0	0	0	52	0	18
Sutter	6	0	6	0	0	0	6	0	6
Tehama	7	0	7	0	0	0	7	0	5
Trinity	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0
Tulare	20	1	20	0	0	0	20	0	11
Tuolumne	4	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	4
Ventura	14	1	14	0	0	0	14	0	5
Yolo	4	2	4	0	0	0	4	0	4
Yuba	4	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	4

a/ Counties now reporting: Placer and San Francisco.
CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
June 2011 (Version 2)

	7a	7b	7b1	7b2	8	9	10	11	12
	At least one face to face visit w/parent	No face to face visit w/parent	Did have a valid visit exception	Did not have a valid visit exception	Child rec'd a mental health svc referral	Child rec'd a mental health service	Summary child's health info. in 30 days	Summary child's educ. info. in 30 days	Summary child's health info. 180 days
	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Statewide	1,206	744	234	510	668	1,367	1,320	1,315	1,186
Alameda	108	36	36	0	80	119	172	172	172
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	3	0	0	0	3	3	0	0	0
Butte	3	13	2	11	16	16	16	16	16
Calaveras	4	1	1	0	5	5	5	5	5
Colusa	4	0	0	0	0	2	4	4	4
Contra Costa	13	40	0	40	9	44	53	53	53
Del Norte	2	3	3	0	0	5	5	5	5
El Dorado	3	0	0	0	7	7	7	7	7
Fresno	18	30	29	1	25	31	26	26	28
Glenn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	2	9	9	0	3	8	11	11	0
Imperial	32	0	0	0	20	27	27	32	24
Inyo	2	3	3	0	0	5	5	5	0
Kern	41	7	2	5	120	164	135	135	29
Kings	8	8	5	3	1	16	1	1	6
Lake	3	3	3	0	0	9	11	11	11
Lassen	23	0	0	0	0	23	23	23	23
Los Angeles	360	344	4	340	0	0	0	0	0
Madera	10	4	4	0	0	14	14	14	14
Marin	13	4	4	0	0	17	17	17	17
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	9	0	0	0	10	19	19	19	19
Merced	18	0	0	0	1	17	18	18	0
Modoc	4	0	0	0	0	4	4	4	4
Mono	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1
Monterey	50	0	0	0	50	46	50	50	50
Napa	10	6	1	5	2	16	16	16	0
Nevada	3	3	3	0	0	2	6	6	6
Orange	3	4	4	0	21	41	49	32	53
Placer <i>a/</i>	23	0	0	0	2	4	45	45	45
Plumas	4	4	3	1	1	1	8	8	7
Riverside	58	11	11	0	13	61	21	21	60
Sacramento	51	16	0	16	62	121	61	59	60
San Benito	6	1	0	1	0	8	8	8	8
San Bernardino	36	38	25	13	95	115	105	115	111
San Diego	52	34	4	30	8	45	36	36	49
San Francisco <i>a/</i>	30	25	20	5	5	75	96	96	96
San Joaquin	26	22	0	22	1	13	1	0	10
San Luis Obispo	21	2	2	0	0	23	23	23	23
San Mateo	14	6	0	6	0	14	10	10	15
Santa Barbara	18	3	0	3	11	21	21	21	21
Santa Clara	32	27	27	0	59	59	59	59	59
Santa Cruz	5	4	0	4	5	5	11	11	11
Shasta	20	2	2	0	0	19	19	19	19
Sierra	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Siskiyou	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0
Solano	1	8	8	0	0	10	10	10	0
Sonoma	2	16	16	0	18	18	36	36	18
Stanislaus	18	0	0	0	8	31	0	0	0
Sutter	5	1	1	0	2	6	6	6	0
Tehama	5	0	0	0	1	7	7	7	7
Trinity	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
Tulare	11	0	0	0	1	19	20	20	0
Tuolumne	3	1	0	1	0	4	4	4	4
Ventura	2	3	0	3	1	14	14	14	14
Yolo	3	1	1	0	1	3	1	1	0
Yuba	4	0	0	0	1	4	1	1	0

a/ Counties now reporting: Placer and San Francisco.
CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau

Probation Foster Care Placement Monthly Caseload Statistical Report (FC 23)
June 2011 (Version 2)

	13	14	15	15a	15b	15c	16	17	18
	Summary child's educ. info. 180 days	Children in Item 1 id'd as Indian child	Children in Item 14 to be ICWA eligible	Child placed in a relative's home	Child placed non relative Indian family	Child placed non relative n-Indian family	Children w/ 601/602 sib. in placement	Child in placement in 30 days suit.	Child in placement in 30 da. unsuit.
	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27
Statewide	1,198	28	12	1	0	11	54	15	11
Alameda	172	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
Alpine	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Amador	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Butte	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calaveras	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Colusa	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Contra Costa	53	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Del Norte	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
El Dorado	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fresno	28	2	2	1	0	1	0	0	0
Glenn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Humboldt	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0
Imperial	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Inyo	0	2	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
Kern	29	1	0	0	0	0	6	5	1
Kings	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lake	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lassen	23	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Los Angeles	0	1	0	0	0	0	22	8	1
Madera	14	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marin	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mariposa	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mendocino	19	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Merced	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Modoc	4	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Mono	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Monterey	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Napa	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Nevada	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orange	53	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Placer <i>a/</i>	45	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Plumas	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Riverside	60	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Sacramento	62	1	1	0	0	1	7	0	0
San Benito	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Bernardino	111	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
San Diego	49	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
San Francisco <i>a/</i>	96	1	1	0	0	1	6	0	6
San Joaquin	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
San Luis Obispo	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
San Mateo	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Barbara	21	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Santa Clara	59	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Santa Cruz	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shasta	19	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Sierra	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Siskiyou	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Solano	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sonoma	18	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stanislaus	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sutter	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tehama	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Trinity	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tulare	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Tuolumne	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ventura	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yolo	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuba	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

a/ Counties now reporting: Placer and San Francisco.
CDSS, Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau