

2012-13 Governor's Budget
Budget Summary
New and Significantly Changed Premises

GF
(dollars in millions)

Issue	2011 Budget Act	2012-13 Governor's Budget		Change from 2011 Budget Act		Reason for Change from Budget Act	People/Consumer Impacts
	CY	CY	BY	CY	BY		
CalWORKs (Includes TANF and state GF MOE)							
<p>CalWORKs Refocusing - New - This premise reflects the savings to the CalWORKs budget by refocusing the program with two distinct subprograms with differing grant structures, time limits, and service arrays to prioritize support for working families: CalWORKs Basic to provide up to 24 months of case management and services and CalWORKs Plus for cases who are meeting federal work participation requirements through unsubsidized employment. All other cases would be served in a Child Maintenance Program outside of CalWORKs.</p> <p>CY costs include ramp-up funding for counties to engage or re-engage clients who were previously exempted under the short-term exemptions, as well as other exempted clients who want to participate in CalWORKs Basic.</p>	\$0.00	\$35.63	-\$2,521.96	\$35.63	-\$2,521.96	<p>This is a new premise. Assuming March 1, 2012 enactment, the Governor's Budget proposes implementation for CalWORKs Basic on October 1, 2012; for CalWORKs Plus on April 1, 2013.</p> <p>This reflects the savings (less county share of grants) to CalWORKs Budget of Refocusing the program as well as moving cases out to the Child-Maintenance program. The net savings to the CDSS budget is \$931.6 million TANF/GF.</p> <p>In addition to the costs/savings listed here, the Governor's Budget also includes \$10 million for automation costs (\$3M in CY and \$7M in BY).</p>	<p>An estimated 25,500 cases in CalWORKs Plus will receive an increased income disregard (first \$200 and 50 percent of remaining earned income).</p> <p>An estimated 131,000 cases identified as having received 24 months of aid will be moved to the Child Maintenance program after six months of implementation.</p>
<p>Child Maintenance - New - This item reflects the full cost of the Child Maintenance program, as created by the CalWORKs Refocusing proposal. This program is a safety net for families in which the adult is unable or unwilling to cooperate with Welfare-to-Work requirements. It includes cases sanctioned more than three months, child-only cases, clients who have used their 24 months of CalWORKs Basic assistance, and clients who have received 48 months of TANF assistance and are not meeting federal work requirements in unsubsidized employment.</p>	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,590.34	\$0.00	\$1,590.34	<p>This is a new premise. Assuming March 1, 2012 enactment, the Governor's Budget proposes implementation on October 1, 2012.</p> <p>This reflects the cost to fund the Child Maintenance program outside of CalWORKs (does not include county share of grant costs).</p>	<p>An estimated 368,800 cases will receive a lower grant in Child Maintenance, and 63,300 cases will be discontinued due to exceeding the Child Maintenance income eligibility threshold.</p> <p>Cases will have 50 percent of earned income disregarded.</p>
<p>Exempt MAP Elimination - New - Proposes to eliminate the current exempt MAP level in the CalWORKs program, which is provided to caretaker relatives or parents receiving Supplementation Security Income or other disability benefits. This would establish a single tier grant structure as the current non-exempt MAP levels would apply to all CalWORKs cases.</p>	\$0.00	\$0.00	-\$49.83	\$0.00	-\$49.83	<p>The new premise implements October 1, 2012.</p>	<p>Impacts 104,400 cases who are currently receiving the exempt MAP. An estimated 828 cases will be discontinued as a result of exceeding the income eligibility threshold when the lower non-exempt MAP is applied to the case.</p>
<p>Cal-Learn - The Cal-Learn program was suspended for Fiscal Year (FY) 2011-12 pursuant to SB 72 (Chapter 8, Statutes of 2011) except for bonuses paid for satisfactory progress and high school graduation. The FY 2012-13 Governor's Budget proposes to permanently eliminate Cal-Learn program costs, with the exception of the Cal-Learn Bonuses. Counties may choose to provide intensive case management to this population, but a separate allocation for these services and associated administrative costs will not be provided.</p>	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-\$35.42	<p>The 2011 Budget Act assumed the Cal-Learn program would be reinstated at a cost of \$35.4 million in the BY. Now that the Cal-Learn program will be an unfunded county option, the elimination of the program will result in a \$35.4 million cost avoidance.</p>	<p>Approx. 10,500 pregnant and parenting teens monthly will be impacted by the elimination of this program. These teens are currently served in the CalWORKs welfare-to-work program and remain eligible to receive the \$100 bonus for satisfactory progress and the \$500 bonus upon earning a high school diploma or GED.</p>

2012-13 Governor's Budget
Budget Summary
New and Significantly Changed Premises

GF
(dollars in millions)

Issue	2011 Budget Act	2012-13 Governor's Budget		Change from 2011 Budget Act		Reason for Change from Budget Act	People/Consumer Impacts
	CY	CY	BY	CY	BY		
Regional Market Rate (RMR) Reduction - New - Sets the RMR child care reimbursement ceiling at the 50th percentile of the 2009 RMR survey for all providers, with licensed exempt providers receiving 73 percent of the Family Child Care Home rate.	\$0.00	\$0.00	-\$5.28	\$0.00	-\$5.28	This is a new premise. The Governor's Budget assumes this policy will be implemented August 15, 2012.	This potentially affects all providers of subsidized child care for children served in Stage One, Stage Two, Stage Three and Alternative Payment Provider programs.
Child Care Ramp Up - New - This premise reflects funding equal to approximately three months of administrative costs for counties to transition to administering the subsidized child care programs that are currently administered by California Department of Education / Alternative Payment Provider agencies, which will become effective in FY 2013-14.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$35.39	\$0.00	\$35.39	The Governor's Budget proposes to change eligibility requirements for subsidized child care so that it is prioritized for working families who are meeting the federal work participation rate.	
CalWORKs Realignment - New - This adjustment shifts a portion of CalWORKs assistance costs from General Fund (GF) to county share of funds, which will be drawn from revenues made available in the "CalWORKs Maintenance of Effort Subaccount" as a result of Assembly Bill (AB) 118.	\$0.00	-\$1,086.90	-\$1,128.00	-\$1,086.90	-\$1,128.00	AB 118, which required counties to pay an increased share of the CalWORKs Assistance Payments funded by the CalWORKs MOE Subaccount, was passed after the 2011 Budget Act.	No impact to CalWORKs caseload. Counties will pay an increased share of assistance payments out of the designated funding source.
TANF Transfer to Student Aid Commission - This premise reflects a shift of federal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program funds from the CalWORKs program to the California Student Aid Commission (CSAC) to fund Cal Grants. The TANF surplus is a result of the CalWORKs savings proposals.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$736.36	\$0.00	\$736.36	This is a new premise to transfer \$736.4 million in TANF to CSAC to offset a like amount of GF costs for Cal Grants.	
CalWORKs Grant Reduction (8 Percent) - This premise reduces the CalWORKs Maximum Aid Payment (MAP) by an additional 8 percent, effective July 1, 2011.	-\$314.30	-\$326.30	-\$323.57	-\$12.00	-\$9.27	The increase in savings due to a methodology change to more accurately account for the concurrent effect of implementing the 8 percent MAP reduction and the Earned Income Disregard (EID) policy change.	All CalWORKs recipients experience the grant reduction. Approximately 4,800 cases in CY and 4,700 cases in BY will be discontinued as a result of this policy change.
Earned Income Disregard Reduction - This premise reduces the \$225 Earned Income Disregard to \$112, and continues to apply the 50 percent disregard, effective July 1, 2011.	-\$83.29	-\$90.81	-\$98.70	-\$7.52	-\$15.41	The increase in savings due to a methodology change to more accurately account for the concurrent effect of implementing the 8 percent MAP reduction and the Earned Income Disregard (EID) policy change.	Approximately 5,300 cases in the CY and 5,800 in the BY will be discontinued due to earned income that exceeds the eligibility thresholds.
48-Month Time Limit - This premise reduces the CalWORKs 60-month time limit to 48 months for aided adults, effective July 1, 2011.	-\$103.52	-\$115.20	-\$115.22	-\$11.68	-\$11.70	The 2011 Budget Act assumed savings would not be achieved until August 2011. However, 57 counties were able to implement in July 2011, resulting in increased savings for the CY. The increase in savings in the BY is due to a full year of implementation.	Approximately 20,500 cases will have the adult(s) removed as result of reaching the 48-month time limit. Approximately 365 cases will no longer meet the eligibility threshold and be discontinued.
CalWORKs Employment Services (Safety Net) - This premise now includes an additional \$2 million for job retention services for the new Safety Net clients (48-60 months), which were not previously accounted for in the 2011 Budget Act.	\$1,030.96	\$1,032.87	\$1,066.00	\$1.91	\$35.04	The 2011 Budget Act assumed full services savings from cases between 48-60 months for the new time limit, but did not increase the Safety Net services for those cases. The increased cost in the CY is due to the additional 48-60 month Safety Net caseload. The additional increased cost in the BY is due to an overall Employment Services caseload growth of 3.2 percent.	Includes Safety Net services for 48-60 month time limit cases: approximately 2,340 cases in the CY and the BY.

2012-13 Governor's Budget
Budget Summary
New and Significantly Changed Premises

GF
(dollars in millions)

Issue	2011 Budget Act	2012-13 Governor's Budget		Change from 2011 Budget Act		Reason for Change from Budget Act	People/Consumer Impacts
	CY	CY	BY	CY	BY		
<p>Single Allocation Reduction - The premise assumes restoration of \$376.9 million (\$161.5 million in Employment Services and \$215.3 million in Child Care) to the CalWORKs Single Allocation in the BY.</p> <p>- The CY savings are offset by \$20.3 million TANF/GF cost to reflect the grant impact of the exemptions, which decreased earnings and extended time on aid.</p> <p>- The BY restoration includes approximately \$5 million TANF/GF for increased Work Verification caseload.</p>	-\$356.58	-\$356.59	\$5.10	-\$0.01	\$361.68	The change from the 2011 Budget Act is due to updating the cost of the exemptions as well as the cost of work verification activities.	Counties will need to exempt approximately 23,000 children (13,600 cases at full service) in the CY to absorb the reduction in Child Care.
<p>Reduction to Mental Health & Substance Abuse Services - This allocation was reduced by \$5 million in the CY.</p>	-\$5.00	-\$5.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5.00	It is assumed that this reduction is restored in the BY.	
<p>Subsidized Employment (AB 98) - This premise increases the state share of wage subsidy to 50 percent (less \$56) of the total wage, up to 100 percent of the recipient's computed grant prior to the subsidized employment, due to Senate Bill (SB) 72 expansion of the program.</p> <p>Extends participation to individuals who were not otherwise employed, to individuals who have reached time limits, and to individuals who have exceeded the income thresholds due to participation in subsidized employment. The subsidy may be extended up to 12 months. This is assumed to be a cost-neutral proposal.</p>	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	The increase in subsidy costs is due to more counties implementing and expanding their programs. However, the overall costs are assumed to be fully offset by grant savings due to increased earnings from employment.	Increases the number of subsidized employment slots from 4,200 in the 2011 Budget Act to approximately 7,000 in both the CY and BY.
CalFresh							
(Includes GF CalFresh Administration and CFAP Costs)							
<p>CalFresh Administration - This premise reflects the administrative and staff development costs for the Non-Assistance CalFresh (NACF) programs, and includes adjustments for caseload growth. The Governor's Budget proposes to also adjust the funding in FY 2012-13 to take into account counties' expenditure patterns over the past few years.</p>	\$521.14	\$515.91	\$504.96	-\$5.23	-\$16.18	For the past two fiscal years, counties have spent approximately 87 percent of the funds budgeted for CalFresh Administration. This proposal would align the budget more closely to reflect the amount of funding actually needed to support the program. This adjustment would result in a \$71.9 M GF savings in FY 2012-13.	Counties would still be provided funds to support caseload growth. This adjustment is for Administrative funding only and would not affect recipient benefits.
<p>CalFresh Refresh Modernization - New - This premise implements various changes to provide additional program simplification, remove access barriers, and reduce administrative complexity, in light of the increasing demand for CalFresh benefits and the need to modernize in advance of Health Care Reform.</p>	\$0.00	\$0.00	-\$0.03	\$0.00	-\$0.03	This is a new premise. Costs are assumed to be fully offset by administrative savings and economic benefit to the state from increased CalFresh participation.	Modernization proposals include various automation projects, Waiver of Face-to-Face Interviews at Annual Recertification for Seniors and Disabled cases without earnings, and implementation of Face-to-Face Waiver at intake for remaining counties that have not implemented.
						\$1.1 M (\$385 K GF) is budgeted for automation projects.	

2012-13 Governor's Budget
Budget Summary
New and Significantly Changed Premises

GF
(dollars in millions)

Issue	2011 Budget Act	2012-13 Governor's Budget		Change from 2011 Budget Act		Reason for Change from Budget Act	People/Consumer Impacts
	CY	CY	BY	CY	BY		
Transitional CalFresh (TCF) Recertification - New - This premise reflects a statewide policy change to require counties to perform recertifications on TCF households for continuing CF benefits.	\$0.00	\$0.14	\$0.37	\$0.14	\$0.37	This new premise implements March 1, 2012, in accordance with CDSS' corrective compliance plan and federal regulations. Assumes automation changes can be made without additional funding.	Approximately 3,100 cases per month will transition to CF sooner without a break in benefits as a result of this policy.
LIHEAP (AB 6) - New - This premise reflects the cost of providing a utility outreach service benefit to CalFresh recipients. By receiving LIHEAP, the household is automatically eligible to receive the Standard Utility Allowance (SUA).	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1.28	\$0.00	\$1.28	This new premise implements January 1, 2013. Assumes automation and Electronic Benefit Transfer costs can be absorbed within the existing budget. CDSS will receive LIHEAP reimbursement funds through an Inter-Agency agreement with the Department of Community Services and Development.	All CalFresh recipients will receive a \$0.10 LIHEAP benefit. Approximately 310,000 existing households are assumed to receive an increase of approximately \$62 in CalFresh benefits due to receiving the SUA. Approximately 1,000 households will become newly eligible per month.
SFIS Elimination for CalFresh (AB 6) - New - This premise reflects the increased cost to CalFresh and CFAP due to a five percent increased participation rate as a result of eliminating the fingerprinting requirement.	\$0.00	\$1.68	\$7.48	\$1.68	\$7.48	This new premise implements January 1, 2012.	Approximately 20,000 new households in the CY and 67,000 households in the BY will participate in CalFresh after the elimination of SFIS.
Senior Nutrition (AB 69) - New - This premise allows counties to simplify the enrollment process in the CalFresh program for seniors through the use of existing information maintained by the Social Security Administration regarding low-income seniors. This program is optional to the counties and requires federal waiver approval.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1.39	\$0.00	\$1.39	This premise assumes a July 1, 2012 implementation. Assumes implementation in counties representing approximately 24 percent of the CalFresh caseload. Assumes no additional automation costs and no impact to CFAP.	Approximately 34,000 households will participate in CalFresh as a result of this outreach effort.
School Lunch Program (AB 402) - New - This premise allows counties to streamline the CalFresh application process with the School Lunch Program application through partnership with local school districts. The information provided may qualify the household for CalFresh benefits. This program is optional to the counties.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.96	\$0.00	\$0.96	This new premise assumes an October 2012 implementation. Assumes participation by a portion of school districts in Alameda, Los Angeles, and Santa Clara counties. Assumes no additional automation costs.	It is assumed approximately 9,100 households will enroll in the CalFresh program in the BY.
Extended Modified Categorical Eligibility (MCE) AB 433 - This premise now reflects the implementation of MCE for Seniors, Disabled Individuals, and Able Bodied Adults Without Dependents. This policy allows these individuals to be eligible for CalFresh benefits regardless of their resources.	\$11.54	\$8.62	\$1.77	-\$2.92	-\$9.77	Actual caseload data indicates significantly fewer cases becoming eligible for CalFresh under MCE than projected in the 2011 Budget Act.	Assumes that in the BY, approximately 7,000 cases will be served in the CalFresh program as a result of MCE.
Children's Programs							
FFH Rate Increase - Kin-GAP/FFH/AAP Dual Agency COLA Impact - New - This premise provides an annual cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) increase to Dual Agency cases as a result of the <i>California Foster Parent Association v. William Lightbourne, et al</i> court decision.	\$0.00	\$0.75	\$1.29	\$0.75	\$1.29	This new premise implemented July 1, 2011. All Kin-GAP, FFH and AAP Dual agency cases will receive a 1.92 percent CNI increase in CY and a 3.23 percent CNI in BY.	This is a 58 county estimate that impacts 17 Kin-GAP, 1,683 FFH, and 3,065 AAP Dual Agency cases in CY and BY.
Kin-GAP Basic Cost - This premise provides a TANF MOE funded payment to a relative caregiver of a child who has been placed in their care for at least 12 months if the relative assumes guardianship and the dependency is dismissed.	\$11.59	\$43.13	\$35.05	\$31.54	\$23.46	The percentage of Kin-GAP cases eligible for Fed-GAP is significantly less than previously estimated. The higher number of cases remaining in the Kin-GAP program will result in a GF pressure on the TANF MOE.	Approximately 7,310 CY and 5,941 BY Kin-GAP cases will be impacted. 45% of the Kin-GAP caseload will remain in the State-Only program.

2012-13 Governor's Budget
Budget Summary
New and Significantly Changed Premises

GF
(dollars in millions)

Issue	2011 Budget Act	2012-13 Governor's Budget		Change from 2011 Budget Act		Reason for Change from Budget Act	People/Consumer Impacts
	CY	CY	BY	CY	BY		
Fed-GAP Basic Cost - This premise provides a Title IV-E funded payment to cases that are eligible for the federal Kin-GAP program.	\$33.54	\$19.22	\$23.32	-\$14.33	-\$10.22	The percentage of Kin-GAP cases eligible for Fed-GAP is significantly less than previously estimated.	Approximately 5,881 CY 7,138 BY Fed-GAP cases will be eligible for the Fed-GAP program. 55% of the Kin-GAP caseload will convert to the Fed-GAP program.
Expansion of the Intensive Treatment Foster Care (ITFC) Rate - This premise reflects the savings associated with placing children into the ITFC program rather than into higher cost group homes.	-\$1.39	-\$0.37	-\$2.85	\$1.02	-\$1.47	The loss of savings in the CY reflects a 6 month delay in implementation of a new rate structure to be developed by a stakeholder workgroup. This premise will now implement December 31, 2011 instead of July 1, 2011. The BY reflects a full year of cases phasing into the program and reflects a full year of savings.	The premise will impact 35 Group Home RCL 9-11 cases in CY and 250 Group Home RCL 9-11 cases in BY.
Multi-Treatment Foster Care (MTFC) Program - This premise reflects the savings associated with placing children into the MTFC program rather than into higher cost group homes.	-\$0.38	-\$0.05	-\$0.79	\$0.33	-\$0.41	The loss of savings in the CY reflects a 6 month delay in implementation of a new rate structure to be developed by a stakeholder workgroup. This premise will now implement December 31, 2011 instead of July 1, 2011. The BY reflects a full year of cases phasing into the program and reflects a full year of savings.	The premise will impact 9 Group Home RCL 12 and 14 cases in CY and 63 Group Home RCL 12 and 14 cases in BY.
CWS Basic Costs - Reflects administration and services costs incurred by County Welfare Departments to administer and provide services to children and families in the Emergency Assistance Assessments (ERA), Emergency Response (ER), Family Maintenance (FM), Family Reunification (FR), and Permanent Placement (PP) program components.	\$278.50	\$278.50	\$265.70	\$0.00	-\$12.80	Approximately half of the BY difference (\$6.5M) is due to a decrease in the direct and Emergency Shelter Care expenditures. The other half (\$6.3M) is due to a decrease in the EA Case Management expenditures that are now in the trends.	Average total monthly caseload of ERA, ER, FM, FR, and PP cases for the non-waiver counties is 87,000
CWS Consolidated Programs - Consolidates 39 child welfare programs into one premise.	\$108.50	\$108.50	\$100.50	\$0.00	-\$8.00	This premise consolidates 39 Child Welfare Services programs into one, in an effort to streamline the Budget presentation. The reduction in funding is primarily due to a shift of the Transitional Housing for Foster Youth program funds to the AB 12 program.	Unknown.
CWS Consolidated Federal Grants and Matching Funds - Consolidates five grant programs and matching funds into one premise item.	\$42.40	\$42.40	\$42.40	\$0.00	\$0.00	This purpose of this premise is to consolidate five of the Child Welfare Services federal grants and matching state funds.	Unknown.
Increase Funding for Caseworker Visits - Reflects costs associated with implementing the federal Child and Family Services Improvement Act of 2006 which requires states to increase the percentage of foster children that are visited monthly to 90 percent by 2011 and to improve the quality of the visits.	\$3.30	\$5.30	\$5.30	\$2.00	\$2.00	In order to provide adequate funding for the visits, FFY 2007 will be used as the new base year. In addition, 30 minutes of social worker time is now included for all missed visits to improve the quality of the visits.	The premise impacts approximately 74,000 children in foster care in both the CY and BY.
Transitional Housing Plus Foster Care Rates (THP+ FC) - New - This premise develops a New THP+ FC rate effective January 1, 2012 for youth who elect to remain in foster care up to age 20 (as part of AB 12).	\$0.88	\$0.88	\$6.80	\$0.00	\$5.92	The projected caseload increased from 77 in CY to 272 in BY to reflect a full year of 19 year olds and a half year of 20 year olds. The BY change is also due to a fund shift of \$6.8 M from the THP-Plus program funds to the THP-Plus FC (AB 12) premise.	Impacts foster youth up to ages 19 and 20 who elect to remain in foster care and who will reside in a Transitional Housing placement.

2012-13 Governor's Budget
Budget Summary
New and Significantly Changed Premises

GF
(dollars in millions)

Issue	2011 Budget Act	2012-13 Governor's Budget		Change from 2011 Budget Act		Reason for Change from Budget Act	People/Consumer Impacts
	CY	CY	BY	CY	BY		
Adult Programs -							
State Supplementary Payment (SSP) MOE Floor for Individuals - Savings from reducing the SSP payment standard to the 1983 federal maintenance of effort level for individuals only. This premise includes the GF impact of the 2012 and 2013 federal COLA.	-\$183.42	-\$178.58	-\$181.55	\$4.84	\$1.87	The CY savings decreased due to the Social Security Income (SSI)/SSP caseload growing at a lower rate than was projected, lower average grants, and offset by an increase in the estimated 2012 federal COLA from 1.3 percent to 3.6 percent. The BY savings decreased due to a lower projected caseload in which fewer recipients lose eligibility, reduced average grants, and offset by the 2012 federal COLA and the estimated 2013 federal COLA of 0.2 percent.	Reduces SSP grants for up to 1.2 million SSI/SSP and SSP-only recipients. A total of 9,306 recipients in the CY and 10,454 recipients in the BY may lose their SSP eligibility. As a result, approximately 615 recipients in both CY and BY may seek CalFresh assistance.
Adult Day Health Care (ADHC) Services - New - Increased In-Home Supportive Services (IHSS) services costs resulting from the elimination of the optional ADHC Medi-Cal benefit.	\$0.00	\$2.58	\$7.74	\$2.58	\$7.74	This new premise implements March 1, 2012.	Beginning in March 2012, approximately 1,400 new recipients will receive IHSS services, and 24,000 ADHC recipients currently receiving IHSS services will receive increased average hours. (Increase to average monthly cases of 467 per month over 12 months.)
ADHC - Administration - New - Increased IHSS administrative costs resulting from the elimination of the optional ADHC Medi-Cal benefit.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.35	\$0.00	\$0.35	This new premise implements March 1, 2012. The ADHC one-time admin. cost portion for the CY reflects full reimbursement from Department of Health Care Services (DHCS), for both GF and Title XIX.	Beginning in March 2012, approximately 1,400 new recipients will receive an IHSS assessment, and 24,000 ADHC recipients currently receiving IHSS services will qualify to determine if an adjustment in hours is necessary resulting from the elimination of the optional ADHC Medi-Cal benefit.
Eliminate Services for Recipients without a Health Care Certificate - Reduces the number of IHSS recipients by eliminating those who are unable to obtain a certificate from a qualified licensed health care professional. The savings are net administrative costs.	-\$67.38	-\$52.08	-\$149.89	\$15.30	-\$82.51	The CY reflects a delay of implementation from July 1, 2011, to August 1, 2011, for new recipients and to September 1, 2011, for current recipients. The BY reflects a full year of savings and a full year impact of recipients eliminated in the CY.	Eliminates 28,915 recipients and an associated 14.7 million hours in FY 2011-12, and 41,512 recipients (28,915 ongoing plus 12,597 new and those not reassessed in the CY) and an associated 39.6 million hours in the FY 2012-13.

2012-13 Governor's Budget
Budget Summary
New and Significantly Changed Premises

GF
(dollars in millions)

Issue	2011 Budget Act	2012-13 Governor's Budget		Change from 2011 Budget Act		Reason for Change from Budget Act	People/Consumer Impacts
	CY	CY	BY	CY	BY		
Eliminate Domestic and Related Services (D&R) for Recipients in Shared Living Arrangements (SLAs) - New - Savings from eliminating D&R for IHSS recipients in SLAs, excluding households with only IHSS recipients. The savings are net administrative and system change costs.	\$0.00	\$0.00	-\$204.32	\$0.00	-\$204.32	This new premise implements July 1, 2012.	The BY assumes 244,700 recipients with prorated D&R will lose 13.81 hours per month, for a total of 40.6 million hours and 80,418 recipients with non-prorated D&R will lose 8.74 hours per month, for a total of 7.7 million hours.
20 Percent Trigger Reduction - New - Savings from reducing IHSS service hours by a net 14.6 percent after accounting for supplemental care approvals. The savings are net administrative, State Operations, and system change costs.	\$0.00	-\$46.86	-\$221.80	-\$46.86	-\$221.80	This new premise implements April 1, 2012.	The CY assumes 231,829 recipients will be impacted by the full 20 percent reduction, 151,743 recipients will be impacted by a partial reduction in hours, and 37,936 will receive full restoration. The BY assumes 232,891 recipients will be impacted by the full 20 percent reduction, 152,438 recipients will be impacted by a partial reduction in hours, and 38,110 will receive full restoration.
Medication Dispenser Reduction - Reflects the implementation of a service reduction to IHSS in the event the Medication Dispenser pilot does not generate a targeted \$140 million GF in savings by July 1, 2012.	-\$140.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$140.00	\$140.00	This premise is displayed as a non-add line. If triggered, it would score a net \$140 million GF savings with an October 1, 2012, implementation date. The decrease in savings, however, reflects this premise not implementing.	Unknown.
Community First Choice Option - Savings associated with an enhanced federal medical assistance percentage (FMAP) for providing attendant and support services to IHSS recipients.	-\$127.97	-\$108.48	-\$145.11	\$19.49	-\$17.14	The savings tie to an additional six percent FMAP for federally eligible recipients.	A total of 410,416 federally eligible recipients in the CY and 413,511 recipients in the BY will qualify for this program.
California Community Transitions Money Follows the Person Demonstration - New - Net cost associated with an enhanced FMAP for a period of one-year for IHSS recipients who transition out of institutionalized care and into IHSS. The enhanced FMAP is offset by 100 percent GF.	\$0.00	\$0.20	\$0.17	\$0.20	\$0.17	This new premise implemented July 1, 2011, with retroactive benefits to June 30, 2010.	A total of 253 recipients in the CY and 262 in the BY will qualify to receive the additional 25 percent FMAP.
Program Integrity Savings - Savings associated with IHSS program integrity efforts.	-\$145.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$145.00	\$145.00	Assumes savings have already been captured in the IHSS basic trend.	Unknown.

2012-13 Governor's Budget
Budget Summary
New and Significantly Changed Premises

GF
(dollars in millions)

Issue	2011 Budget Act	2012-13 Governor's Budget		Change from 2011 Budget Act		Reason for Change from Budget Act	People/Consumer Impacts
	CY	CY	BY	CY	BY		
Program Integrity Cost Avoidance - Informational line showing the savings that were achieved as a result of multiple program integrity efforts for the IHSS program.	\$0.00	-\$151.62	-\$151.62	-\$151.62	-\$151.62	This is a new informational premise only, displaying as a non-add item.	Unknown.
Provider Fee (IHSS Stabilization Act) - Collects provider fees to leverage federal funds, which will offset GF costs.	-\$121.06	-\$57.27	-\$95.45	\$63.78	\$25.61	Decrease in CY savings assumes a delay in implementation to January 1, 2012, and interactions with the 20 Percent Trigger and Eliminate D&R for Recipients in SLA reductions. The BY decrease assumes a full year of implementation and interactions with the 20 Percent Trigger and Eliminate D&R for Recipients in SLA reductions.	The fee will be collected from 333,331 IHSS providers in the CY and 335,835 providers in the BY. Providers will be fully reimbursed.
Dual Eligible Integration - New - Reimbursement for CDSS' costs for providing IHSS to dual eligible Medicare/Medi-Cal recipients participating under DHCS' Medi-Cal managed care plan.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	This new premise implements January 1, 2013, and reflects full reimbursement from DHCS, with no GF impact for CDSS. Initial funding of \$420 million in reimbursement funds will reflect the same federal/state/county shares consistent with the capitated rate.	Unknown.
Automation Projects							
Semiannual Reporting Automation (AB 6) - New - This premise reflects the automation costs associated with implementing SAR. Assumes automation to begin April 2012, with the issuance of All-County Letter instructions. Also assumes automation changes will be complete by July 2013, therefore no grant costs or admin savings reflected in budget until FY 2013-14.	\$0.00	\$0.35	\$10.35	\$0.35	\$10.35	BY costs also include training.	
Work Incentive Nutritional Supplement Automation - New - This premise reflects the costs for the automation for the WINS program, which will provide an additional CalFresh benefit of \$50 per month beginning FY 2013-14 to those participants who meet the federal Temporary Assistance for Needy Families work requirements.	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2.46	\$0.00	\$2.46	Automation to comply with current statute for full implementation by April 2014. Does not reflect additional automation costs than may be necessary for the Governor's Budget proposal to provide WINS to subsidized child care recipients.	
CMIPS II - This premise reflects the costs for contracting with the Health & Human Services Agency Office of Systems Integration (OSI) for development, support, systems change, delay, and implementation of a new and enhanced IHSS CMIPS. Note: A total of \$2.7 million GF was identified per control section 3.91(b). Savings include - CMIPS (\$2.30 million GF), EBT (348K GF), and CWS Cast (\$61K GF).	\$30.09	\$27.79	\$31.50	-\$2.30	\$1.41	CY - decrease is due to target reductions (CY only) and project delays. BY - decrease is due to the planned ramp down of costs as the project continues the statewide CMIPS II implementation with full statewide operations in Nov. 2012. The decrease is partially offset by an increase for system updates for CMIPS II to support changes to the IHSS Program. Although there is a decrease in BY, there is a GF increase due to a change to Title XIX FMAP as CMIPS II enters the M&O phase (6 months).	

2012-13 Governor's Budget
Budget Summary
New and Significantly Changed Premises

GF
(dollars in millions)

Issue	2011 Budget Act	2012-13 Governor's Budget		Change from 2011 Budget Act		Reason for Change from Budget Act	People/Consumer Impacts
	CY	CY	BY	CY	BY		
Statewide Automated Welfare System (SAWS) Project - This reflects the changes to the Unallocated SAWS Reduction, ISAWS Migration, and C-IV.	\$73.90	\$73.90	\$74.40	\$0.00	\$0.50	CY - Unallocated SAWS Reduction: One -time reduction has been disbursed among the SAWS projects. BY - Unallocated SAWS Reduction continues in BY. The distribution has not been determined. - ISAWS Migration: decrease due to the ISAWS Migration budget being combined within the C-IV project budget. - C-IV: increase due to full year inclusion of the 35 ISAWS counties within the C-IV project budget.	
Statewide Fingerprint Imaging System (SFIS) - AB 6 eliminated the fingerprinting requirement for CalFresh and CFAP (non-assistance cases). This premise reflects increased costs in TANF/GF as costs are reallocated to the remaining benefiting programs (CalWORKs and County GA/GR).	\$7.44	\$9.11	\$10.75	\$1.67	\$3.31	The cost of SFIS that was previously shared by CalFresh is reallocated to CalWORKs and County GA/GR, beginning January 2012 due to AB 6.	
Child Welfare Services / Case Management System (CWS/CMS) Maintenance & Operation (M&O) - This premise reflects the costs related to the ongoing and administrative support of the CWS/CMS.	\$36.21	\$36.38	\$37.36	\$0.17	\$1.15	The CY increase is due to the one-time inclusion of funding for AB 12 which was previously funded in a separate premise line. The BY increase is due to the restoration of the one-time reduction to the Prime Vendor Services baseline budget associated with IBM Amendment 27.	