



CCR State/County Implementation Team

Meeting Notes

May 19, 2016

AGENDA ITEM	NOTES
<p>I. Welcome, Agenda, and Introductions</p>	
<p>II. Child & Family Team</p>	<p>There is a CFT sub-workgroup facilitated by Danna Fabella, Child and Family Policy Institute of California (CFPIC) that has met twice since the meeting on April 21. It is designed to provide guidance regarding how CCR impacts the delivery of Child and Family Team process for county collaboratives.</p> <p>Richard Knecht (CDSS/DHCS) reported out on the CFT workgroup in Danna's absence. Richard and the workgroup have drafted a FAQ document based largely on the Pathway's To Mental Health Core Practice Model and builds on what counties have already done. The sub-workgroup has received the document and has been asked to review and provide input by June 2. Their input will be incorporated into the next draft and discussed at the June 7, CFT sub-workgroup meeting. The document will then be distributed to the full workgroup and CFT will be an agenda item on the next State/County Implementation Team Meeting on June 16.</p> <p>Some of the questions that stakeholders had raised include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Who convenes the CFT? • What is the composition of the CFT throughout engagement? • What other names are CFTs called by? • How often are CFTs held? • Fiscal implications of CFT? • CFT for probation youth-

- What can be shared (especially in terms of prosecution)?
- Under what circumstances does the configuration of the CFT change?

AGREEMENT: What can/cannot be discussed is a nuanced discussion best determined by local jurisdictions.

**III.
County Review Process for
Program Statement**

There is a sub-workgroup, facilitated by Stuart Oppenheim, CFPIC that has met to discuss and develop recommendations for the County Review Process for Program Statement.

Working documents that were made available to the CCR State/County Implementation Team include: A chart of the county review process, a narrative of the process for the county review, and a draft letter from new applicants for Short Term Residential Therapeutic Programs and Foster Family Agencies.

Questions that were posed for consideration/discussion were:

- Should applicants be able to “shop around” to other counties in addition to their host county for Program Statement review?
- What are the mental health contract/certification implications for facilities/agencies that serve kids in another county, particularly if the host county declines review of the Program Statement and/or placement?
- Is there or should there be a timeframe attached to the county review to ensure the applicant can submit their full application to Community Care Licensing (CCL) timely?

AGREEMENTS:

- County level authorities from Child Welfare, Mental Health, and Probation to see and approve the program statement.
- The host county should receive the program statement first before the agency pursues approval from a secondary county.
- CCL should be included in the receipt of provider letters.
- A timeframe for review should be established. A document requiring multiple signatures could delay capacity for services.

	<p style="text-align: center;">RECOMMENDATION:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Add as a domain in the Implementation Guide. <p>The CCR Staff under Rami Chand will amend the documents in light of the discussion, agreements, and recommendations and will provide a new draft for review prior to the June 16th meeting.</p>
<p>IV. Medi-Cal Certification</p>	<p>Karen Baylor, Department of Health Care Services (DHCS) provided an update on Mental Health Certification. Details are being fine-tuned to combine the Medi-Cal Certification and Program Approval process. It is currently being referred to as MH Program Certification Approval pending the development of a new name. This process will be more robust and more structured.</p> <p>Program approval certification for Foster Family Agencies (FFA) is new. This process will look like the current certification process, but it will be a workload issue. Anticipating a steeper learning curve for FFA's to learn Medi-Cal. Training and technical assistance will be necessary, DHCS to coordinate with the counties.</p>
<p>IV. Updates</p> <p>Mental Health Workgroup</p> <p>Implementation Guide</p>	<p>Karen Baylor, DHCS reported on the second Mental Health Workgroup Meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Good attendance • Youth participation • Creating a document that explains all of the acronyms • Working to keep focused on Specialty MH <p>Implementation Guide will be sent as an attachment to the All County Information Notice on AB 403.</p>
<p>VI. Next Steps</p>	<p>Next meeting: June 16, 3:00-5:00 PM, CBHDA</p>