



CDSS

WILL LIGHTBOURNE
DIRECTOR

STATE OF CALIFORNIA—HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY
DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES
744 P Street • Sacramento, CA 95814 • www.cdss.ca.gov



EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
GOVERNOR

August 31, 2015

COUNTY FISCAL LETTER NO. 15/16-17

TO: COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS
COUNTY WELFARE FISCAL OFFICERS

SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 COMMUNITY CARE LICENSING
ALLOCATION FOR FOSTER FAMILY HOMES AND ALLOCATION
FOR FAMILY CHILD CARE HOMES

This letter informs counties of the Fiscal Year 2015-16 allocations for the Community Care Licensing (CCL) – Foster Family Homes (FFH) and the CCL – Family Child Care Homes (FCCH) programs. A total of \$5,530,000 General Fund (GF) for FFH and \$34,000 for FCCH in both reimbursement funds and GF is available based on the Budget Act of 2015.

FFH Licensing and FFH Recruitment

Attachment I displays the total allocation of \$5,530,000 GF for FFH Licensing, which includes *Gresher v. Anderson*, FFH Recruitment, Adam Walsh Protection and Safety Act of 2006, Resource Family Approval (RFA) Program and Registered Sex Offender (RSO) Check. For those counties performing FFH Licensing activities, the funds were distributed based on each county's staffing costs, as follows:

1. Each county's average monthly FFH caseload for calendar year 2014 as reported on the Licensing of Facilities for Children Monthly Statistical Report (LIC 181) was divided by the workload standard of 120 facilities per Full-Time Equivalent (FTE).
2. This resulted in the number of justified staff required to license this facility category.
3. A worker to supervisor ratio of 6.25:1 was applied to this justified staffing level.
4. The total number of staffing (staff and supervisors) was multiplied by the individual county's actual FFH unit cost.

In addition, a total of \$25,000 GF is allocated to those counties with licensing activities associated with the Adam Walsh Child Protection and Safety Act of 2006. This premise reflects the costs associated with child abuse and neglect registries and responding to

other states' requests for underlying information about child abuse and neglect reports in California. Funds were allocated on a percent to total basis according to calendar year 2014 average monthly FFH new license caseload.

For those counties that do not participate in the licensing program, the FFH Recruitment allocation funds are distributed based on each county's Family Reunification and Permanent Placement average monthly caseload, using the most recent 12 months caseload data and the number of children under 18 years of age from the United States Census Bureau.

The RFA is a three-year program for up to five counties to establish a single, comprehensive approval process for Foster Care and Adoptions. The RFA replaces the existing separate processes for licensing FFHs, approving relatives and non-relative extended family members and approving adoptive families. Savings are distributed on actual FFH sharing ratios.

The FFH Licensing and Recruitment administrative costs, including staff development, are charged to Program Codes (PCs) 155 and 156 on the County Expense Claim (CEC). State Use Only (SUO) code 192 is used for expenditures exceeding the GF CCL/FFH allocation, which will be transferred to county share.

FCCH Licensing

Attachment II displays the total allocation of \$34,000 GF and reimbursement funding for FCCH Licensing. The amounts of \$19,000 GF for FCCH Licensing and Serious Incident Reporting and \$13,000 in reimbursement funds are distributed based on each county's staffing costs, determined as follows:

1. Each county's average monthly FCCH caseload for calendar year 2014 as reported on the LIC 181 was divided by the workload standard of 257 facilities per FTE.
2. This resulted in the number of justified staff required to license this facility category.
3. A worker to supervisor ratio of 6.25:1 was applied to the justified staffing level.
4. Total staffing was then multiplied by the individual county's actual FCCH unit cost.

The FCCH Licensing administrative costs, including staff development, are charged to PC 157 - Licensing - Family Day Care on the CEC. The SUO Codes 061 and 062 will be used to ensure that 100 percent of the reimbursement funds are expended before counties access their GF allocation. Expenditures exceeding the GF allocation will be transferred to county share using SUO Code 153.

RSO Check

Attachment I and Attachment II display \$92,000 GF for FFH and \$2,000 GF for FCCH, respectively. The funds were allocated for the RSO Check based on a percent to statewide total using the calendar year 2014 average monthly caseload for annual checks and application registered sex offender checks.

This premise reflects the costs to minimize the risk of predictable and preventable harm to vulnerable children in out-of-home care by detecting the presence or residency of a RSO in the prospective and approved licensed facilities and/or in the prospective and approved Relative/Non Relative Extended Family Member's homes.

The FFH RSO costs are charged to PC 155 and FCCH RSO costs are charged to PC 157 on the CEC.

Any questions regarding this allocation may be directed to fiscal.systems@dss.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Original Document Signed By:

BRIAN DOUGHERTY, Chief
Financial Management and Contracts Branch

Attachments

FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 COMMUNITY CARE LICENSING: FOSTER FAMILY HOMES GENERAL FUND ALLOCATION

COUNTY	FY 2015-16 * FFH Licensing GF	FY 2015-16 FFH Recruitment GF	FY 2015-16 Adam Walsh GF	FY 2015-16 Resource Family Approval GF	FY 2015-16 Registered Sex Offender Check GF	Total Allocation GF
Alameda	\$248,870	\$0	\$630	\$0	\$3,325	\$252,825
Alpine	\$0	\$36	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$36
Amador	\$0	\$757	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$757
Butte	\$31,364	\$0	\$420	\$0	\$1,206	\$32,990
Calaveras	\$0	\$1,726	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,726
Colusa	\$0	\$822	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$822
Contra Costa	\$304,871	\$0	\$1,261	\$0	\$4,483	\$310,615
Del Norte	\$16,905	\$0	\$420	\$0	\$693	\$18,018
El Dorado	\$29,985	\$0	\$420	\$0	\$843	\$31,248
Fresno	\$144,857	\$0	\$630	\$0	\$3,030	\$148,517
Glenn	\$5,098	\$0	\$210	\$0	\$339	\$5,647
Humboldt	\$0	\$4,755	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,755
Imperial	\$37,184	\$0	\$420	\$0	\$1,199	\$38,803
Inyo	\$7,471	\$0	\$210	\$0	\$311	\$7,992
Kern	\$200,047	\$0	\$841	\$0	\$3,044	\$203,932
Kings	\$20,912	\$0	\$0	(\$8,582)	\$292	\$12,622
Lake	\$0	\$2,383	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,383
Lassen	\$0	\$931	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$931
Los Angeles	\$0	\$361,948	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$361,948
Madera	\$0	\$6,134	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,134
Marin	\$46,936	\$0	\$210	\$0	\$511	\$47,657
Mariposa	\$10,295	\$0	\$210	\$0	\$368	\$10,873
Mendocino	\$0	\$3,883	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,883
Merced	\$48,597	\$0	\$420	\$0	\$1,430	\$50,447
Modoc	\$0	\$234	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$234
Mono	\$0	\$239	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$239
Monterey	\$70,288	\$0	\$630	\$0	\$1,886	\$72,804
Napa	\$39,623	\$0	\$210	\$0	\$731	\$40,564
Nevada	\$0	\$1,973	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,973
Orange	\$351,004	\$0	\$1,680	\$0	\$5,065	\$357,749
Placer	\$36,200	\$0	\$630	\$0	\$1,148	\$37,978
Plumas	\$0	\$617	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$617
Riverside	\$0	\$87,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$87,000
Sacramento	\$380,956	\$0	\$1,051	\$0	\$5,950	\$387,957
San Benito	\$2,478	\$0	\$210	\$0	\$43	\$2,731
San Bernardino	\$429,620	\$0	\$2,731	\$0	\$12,231	\$444,582
San Diego	\$956,654	\$0	\$3,993	\$0	\$18,443	\$980,090
San Francisco	\$124,819	\$0	\$210	(\$3,121)	\$1,157	\$123,065
San Joaquin	\$157,301	\$0	\$1,051	\$0	\$3,607	\$161,959
San Luis Obispo	\$65,858	\$0	\$420	(\$16,385)	\$1,527	\$51,420
San Mateo	\$123,345	\$0	\$420	\$0	\$1,496	\$125,261
Santa Barbara	\$64,968	\$0	\$210	(\$7,022)	\$639	\$58,795
Santa Clara	\$418,632	\$0	\$841	(\$35,890)	\$3,572	\$387,155
Santa Cruz	\$96,258	\$0	\$630	\$0	\$1,618	\$98,506
Shasta	\$92,076	\$0	\$630	\$0	\$1,972	\$94,678
Sierra	\$0	\$54	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$54
Siskiyou	\$588	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7	\$595
Solano	\$0	\$28,190	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$28,190
Sonoma	\$94,348	\$0	\$210	\$0	\$1,491	\$96,049
Stanislaus	\$70,646	\$0	\$630	\$0	\$1,540	\$72,816
Sutter	\$5,994	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,994
Tehama	\$24,312	\$0	\$420	\$0	\$821	\$25,553
Trinity	\$0	\$697	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$697
Tulare	\$70,649	\$0	\$630	\$0	\$2,114	\$73,393
Tuolumne	\$14,901	\$0	\$210	\$0	\$439	\$15,550
Ventura	\$111,496	\$0	\$1,051	\$0	\$3,251	\$115,798
Yolo	\$0	\$5,621	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,621
Yuba	\$18,594	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$178	\$18,772
Total	\$4,975,000	\$508,000	\$25,000	(\$71,000)	\$92,000	\$5,530,000

* Includes Gresher v. Anderson

FISCAL YEAR 2015-16 COMMUNITY CARE LICENSING: FAMILY CHILD CARE HOMES ALLOCATION

COUNTY	FY 2015-16 FCCH Licensing GF	FY 2015-16 Registered Sex Offender Check GF	FY 2015-16 FCCH Reimbursement Federal	TOTAL Allocation FED/GF
Alameda	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Alpine	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Amador	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Butte	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Calaveras	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Colusa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Contra Costa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Del Norte	\$10,584	\$1,144	\$7,242	\$18,970
El Dorado	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Fresno	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Glenn	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Humboldt	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Imperial	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inyo	\$8,416	\$856	\$5,758	\$15,030
Kern	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Kings	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lake	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Lassen	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Los Angeles	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Madera	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Marin	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mariposa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mendocino	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Merced	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Modoc	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Mono	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Monterey	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Napa	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Nevada	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Orange	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Placer	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Plumas	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Riverside	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sacramento	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Benito	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Bernardino	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Diego	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Francisco	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Joaquin	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Luis Obispo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
San Mateo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Santa Barbara	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Santa Clara	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Santa Cruz	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Shasta	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sierra	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Siskiyou	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Solano	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sonoma	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Stanislaus	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Sutter	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Tehama	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Trinity	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Tulare	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Tuolumne	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ventura	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Yolo	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Yuba	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$19,000	\$2,000	\$13,000	\$34,000