ALL COUNTY INFORMATION NO.

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

744 P Street, Sacramento, California 95814



August 25, 2000

REASON FOR THIS TRANSMITTAL

- [] State Law Change
- [] Federal Law or Regulation Change[] Court Order
- [] Clarification Requested by
- One or More Counties
- [X] Initiated by CDSS

TO: MENTAL HEALTH DIRECTORS MENTAL HEALTH FISCAL OFFICERS ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM DIRECTORS ALCOHOL AND DRUG PROGRAM FISCAL OFFICERS COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS COUNTY FISCAL OFFICERS

SUBJECT: MENTAL HEALTH AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE CLAIMING ISSUES

1-83-00

REFERENCE: CFL 91/92-35; CFL 97/98-42; CFL 99/00-28; CFL 99/00-55; ACIN I-96-87

The purpose of this letter is to provide Mental Health and Substance Abuse providers information regarding the process County Welfare Departments (CWD) must follow in order to receive reimbursement for contracted services expenditures.

This additional information is being provided at the request of the CalWORKs Joint Committee and is intended to compliment a training video being developed for release in October 2000.

Memorandum of Understanding

A Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) or Interagency Agreement (IA) creates local authority for the CWD to claim expenditures through the County Expense Claim (CEC). One of these documents must be in place before counties can claim any expenditure for contracted services for reimbursement.

Cash Basis of Accounting

The California Department of Social Services (CDSS) operates on a cash basis of accounting. This is the accounting method in which expenses must be claimed for reimbursement in the quarter in which they are actually paid.

Example 1:

- Alcohol and Drug Programs/Department of Mental Health provide services to a client in March 2000.
- Invoice is submitted to and paid by the County Welfare Department in April 2000.
- The expenditures must be reported on the County Expense Claim in the June quarter for Fiscal Year 99/00.

Example 2:

- Alcohol and Drug Programs/Department of Mental Health provide services to a client in March 2000.
- Invoice is submitted to and paid by the County Welfare Department in September 2000.
- The expenditures must be reported on the County Expense Claim in the September quarter for Fiscal Year 00/01.

County Expense Claim

The County Expense Claim is the mechanism used by the County Welfare Departments to claim costs for reimbursement, including those associated with Mental Health and Substance Abuse treatment services. Expenditures made in the provision of Mental Health and Substance Abuse treatment services are claimed via the following Program Codes (PC) and Program Identifier Numbers (PINs):

CalWORKs Mental Health Services

| PC | 625 | |
|------|--------|---------------------|
| PINs | 625031 | Contracted Services |
| | 625068 | Direct Cost |

 CalWORKs Substance Abuse Treatment Services PC 628 PINs 628031 Contracted Services 628068 Direct Cost

Revised/Supplemental (Corrected) Claims

County Welfare Departments are required to submit their County Expense Claims no later than 30 days after the last calendar day of the fiscal quarter. In instances where the CWD has paid an invoice, but inadvertently neglected to claim the cost, or claimed the costs to the wrong program code, a Revised/Supplemental claim must be filed. Revised/ Supplemental claims are due within 9 months of the last day of the quarter in which the original claim was submitted.

Reference: Welfare and Institutions Code Section 10604.5

Example 1:

- The County Welfare Department must file the CEC for the June 2000, quarter by July 30, 2000.
- The county discovers they inadvertently neglected to claim an invoice on the June 2000 CEC.
- The CWD has 9 months after the last calendar day of the fiscal quarter (March 31, 2001) to submit a Revised/Supplemental claim for reimbursement of costs.

Example 2:

- The County Welfare Department must file the CEC for the June 2000, quarter by July 30, 2000.
- The county discovers they have incorrectly claimed mental health costs to the wrong program code.
- The CWD has 9 months after the last calendar day of the fiscal quarter (March 31, 2001) to submit a Revised/Supplemental claim to move those costs to the proper program.

Also included for your information (see Attachment s) are the total expenditures for each county for the first three-quarters of Fiscal Year 1999/00. Including the percentage of the allocation that has been expended.

If you have any questions regarding this ACIN, please contact your Fiscal Policy Bureau analyst at (916) 657-3440.

Sincerely,

Original Document Signed by JARVIO A. GREVIOUS on 8/25/00

JARVIO GREVIOUS Deputy Director Administration Division

C: CWDA

Attachments

FY 1999-00 MENTAL HEALTH ALLOCATION vs. EXPENDITURE COMPARISON

| COUNTIES | ALLOCATION (State) | EXPENDITURES (State) 6/99 thru 03/00 QTRS | PERCENTAGE EXPENDED (State) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| Alemede | ¢0.007.004 | | 4 50% |
| Alameda | \$2,297,994 | \$103,465 | 4.50% |
| Alpine Amador | \$24,383 | \$726 | 2.98% |
| Butte | \$58,062 | \$6,080 \$516,286 | 10.47% |
| | \$558,918 | \$516,286 | 92.37% |
| Calaveras Colusa | \$136,151 | \$0 \$07.175 | 0.00% |
| Colusa Contra Costa | \$50,993 \$1,006,034 | \$27,175 \$45,888 | 53.29% 4.56% |
| Del Norte | \$1,006,924 | \$40,000 \$0 | 4.50% |
| El Dorado | \$190,847 \$140,036 | \$0 \$0 | 0.00% |
| Fresno | \$140,030 | \$0 \$275.007 | 12.59% |
| Glenn | \$109,728 | \$275,007 \$0 | 0.00% |
| Humboldt | \$272,841 | \$68,210 | 25.00% |
| Imperial | \$528,656 | \$369,477 | 69.89% |
| Inyo | \$62,942 | \$009,477 \$0 | 0.00% |
| Kern | \$1,563,109 | \$0 \$0 | 0.00% |
| Kings | \$248,316 | \$180,801 | 72.81% |
| Lake | \$373,447 | \$55,947 | 14.98% |
| Lassen | \$140,526 | \$105,396 | 75.00% |
| Los Angeles | \$17,997,653 | \$3,216,235 | 17.87% |
| Madera | \$257,878 | \$88,340 | 34.26% |
| Marin | \$95,648 | \$62,166 | 64.99% |
| Mariposa | \$63,447 | \$40,597 | 63.99% |
| Mendocino | \$191,166 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Merced | \$779,770 | \$113,237 | 14.52% |
| Modoc | \$59,913 | \$35,614 | 59.44% |
| Mono | \$38,447 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Monterey | \$498,081 | \$167,315 | 33.59% |
| Napa | \$111,531 | \$67,720 | 60.72% |
| Nevada | \$133,121 | \$58,739 | 44.12% |
| Orange | \$2,485,437 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Placer | \$248,027 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Plumas | \$62,101 | \$31,050 | 50.00% |
| Riverside | \$2,190,822 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Sacramento | \$3,354,015 | \$1,113,253 | 33.19% |
| San Benito | \$127,904 | \$45 | 0.04% |
| San Bernardino | \$4,015,907 | \$316,808 | 7.89% |
| San Diego | \$3,950,074 | \$1,804,546 | 45.68% |
| San Francisco | \$790,643 | \$371,264 | 46.96% |
| San Joaquin | \$1,431,295 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| San Luis Obispo | \$224,117 | \$294,483 | 131.40% |
| San Mateo | \$366,898 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Santa Barbara | \$418,591 | \$124,269 | 29.69% |
| Santa Clara | \$1,745,345 | \$O | 0.00% |
| Santa Cruz | \$243,040 | \$139,992 | 57.60% |
| Shasta | \$452,219 | \$99,469 | 22.00% |
| Sierra | \$31,229 | \$915 | 2.93% |
| Siskiyou | \$243,691 | \$63,608 | 26.10% |
| Solano | \$578,983 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Sonoma | \$473,510 | \$134,862 | 28.48% |
| Stanislaus | \$1,018,179 | \$245,200 | 24.08% |
| Sutter | \$163,557 | \$23,743 | 14.52% |
| Tehama | \$260,521 | \$73,676 | 28.28% |
| Trinity | \$57,389 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Tulare | \$1,127,919 | \$470,578 | 41.72% |
| Tuolumne | \$187,817 | \$92,593 | 49.30% |
| Ventura | \$673,085 | \$201,550 | 29.94% |
| Yolo | \$313,633 | \$77,348 | 24.66% |
| Yuba | \$289,513 | \$28,264 | 9.76% |
| TOTAL | \$57,701,000 | \$11,311,937 | 19.60% |

FY 1999-00 SUBSTANCE ABUSE ALLOCATION vs. EXPENDITURE COMPARISON

| COUNTIES | ALLOCATION (State) | EXPENDITURES (State) | PERCENTAGE EXPENDED (State) |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | 6/99 thru 3/00 QTRS | |
| Alameda | \$2,410,303 | \$20,005 | 0.83% |
| Alpine | \$25,575 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Amador | \$60,899 | \$43,584 | 71.57% |
| Butte | \$586,234 | \$88,332 | 15.07% |
| Calaveras | \$142,805 | \$2,408 | 1.69% |
| Colusa | \$53,486 | \$0 \$0 | 0.00% |
| Contra Costa | \$1,056,135 | \$0 \$0 | 0.00% |
| Del Norte | \$200,174 | \$0 \$0 | 0.00% |
| El Dorado | \$146,880 | \$0 \$0 | 0.00% |
| Fresno | \$2,291,799 | \$0 \$0 | 0.00% |
| Glenn | \$115,091 | | 0.00% 25.00% |
| Humboldt | \$286,175 | \$71,544 | |
| Imperial | \$554,493 \$66,019 | \$271,456 \$0 | 48.96% 0.00% |
| Inyo Kern | \$08,019 \$1,639,502 | \$0 \$0 | 0.00% |
| Kings | \$1,039,502 | \$0 \$0 | 0.00% |
| Lake | \$200,432 \$391,698 | \$80,611 | 20.58% |
| Lassen | \$391,098 \$147,394 | \$110,544 | 75.00% |
| | \$147,394 \$18,877,242 | \$10,667,098 | 56.51% |
| Los Angeles Madera | \$10,077,242 \$270,481 | \$10,667,098 \$44,956 | 16.62% |
| Marin | \$270,481 \$100,323 | \$61,343 | 61.15% |
| Mariposa | \$100,323 | \$44,012 | 66.14% |
| Mendocino | \$00,548 | \$44,012 \$0 | 0.00% |
| Merced | \$200,309 \$817,880 | \$276,592 | 33.82% |
| Modoc | \$62,841 | \$28,625 | 45.55% |
| Mono | \$40,326 | \$20,025 \$0 | 43.33% |
| Monterey | \$522,423 | \$154,337 | 29.54% |
| Napa | \$116,982 | \$95,922 | 82.00% |
| Nevada | \$139,627 | \$50,069 | 35.86% |
| Orange | \$2,606,906 | \$464,145 | 17.80% |
| Placer | \$260,148 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Plumas | \$65,136 | \$32,568 | 50.00% |
| Riverside | \$2,297,894 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Sacramento | \$3,517,934 | \$830,109 | 23.60% |
| San Benito | \$134,155 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| San Bernardino | \$4,212,175 | \$274,809 | 6.52% |
| San Diego | \$4,143,125 | \$569,832 | 13.75% |
| San Francisco | \$829,284 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| San Joaquin | \$1,501,247 | \$1,501,247 | 100.00% |
| San Luis Obispo | \$235,070 | \$195,289 | 83.08% |
| San Mateo | \$384,829 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Santa Barbara | \$439,048 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Santa Clara | \$1,830,645 | \$482,754 | 26.37% |
| Santa Cruz | \$254,918 | \$155,928 | 61.17% |
| Shasta | \$474,320 | \$208,866 | 44.03% |
| Sierra | \$32,756 | \$456 | 1.39% |
| Siskiyou | \$255,601 | \$17,599 | 6.89% |
| Solano | \$607,280 | \$136,065 | 22.41% |
| Sonoma | \$496,651 | \$162,893 | 32.80% |
| Stanislaus | \$1,067,940 | \$202,833 | 18.99% |
| Sutter | \$171,551 | \$18,545 | 10.81% |
| Tehama | \$273,253 | \$144,617 | 52.92% |
| Trinity | \$60,193 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Tulare | \$1,183,044 | \$206,159 | 17.43% |
| Tuolumne | \$196,997 | \$128,872 | 65.42% |
| Ventura | \$705,981 | \$280,686 | 39.76% |
| Yolo | \$328,961 | \$0 | 0.00% |
| Yuba | \$303,662 | \$21,991 | 7.24% |
| TOTAL | \$60,521,000 | \$18,147,701 | 29.99% |