STATE OF CALIFORNIA-HEALTH AND WELFARE AGENCY

DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES 744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



December 5, 1990

ALL COUNTY INFORMATION NOTICE 1-89-90

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

SUBJECT: THORNTON V. McMAHON

A lawsuit was filed against the State Department of Social Services (SDSS) because of the way we supervise the county administration of the Special Circumstances Allowance (SCA) Program. A Preliminary Injunction was granted which enjoins and restrains SDSS from certain actions. (See attached copy of Preliminary Injunction.)

SDSS has informed the Attorney General to file an appeal. We believe the Order is stayed pending appeal and need not be implemented at this time. This will most likely result in more court activity. At this time, the counties are not required to comply with this preliminary injunction and are to continue to administer the program as they were before the Order. Further direction in this matter will be provided as appropriate.

If you have any comments or questions, please do not hesitate to contact the staff of the Adult Program Management Bureau at (916) 324-4184.

Jana

ROBERT A. HOREL Deputy Director

Attachment

•		
• •	ENDORSEL	
1	FILED	
2	SEP 24 1990	
3	RENE C. DAVIDSON, County Clert	
4 .		By CATHY MILLS, Depit
5		
6		
7 ~		
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA	
10	SHIRLEY THORNTON, DENISE WEINBERG,) NO. 664292-3
11	HAZEL KITCHEN, VIOLA PINTO, et al.,	
12	Plaintiffs,) AMENDED) ORDER GRANTING) DRELIMINARY INTUNCTION
13	VS.) PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
14	LINDA MCMAHON,)
15)
16	Defendants.	
17) \
18	AND RELATED ACTIONS	
19	Plaintiffe! Motion for Proliminary J	niunation was argued and
20	Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction was argued and submitted for decision on July 31, 1990. All parties were	
21		
22	represented by counsel. The court having considered the memoranda	
23	of points and authorities and exhibits thereto, the declarations	
24	in support and in opposition thereto and the verified Complaint	
25	and Answer, and having heard and considered the arguments of	
26	counsel, and good cause appearing,	
27	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendant	MCMAHUN, together with

28 here agents, employees and successors in interest, and all persons

persons acting by, through or under her, shall be and are hereby preliminarily enjoined and restrained, during the pendency of this action, from:

1. Denying Special Circumstances assistance to otherwise eligible SSI recipients who have unmet shelter needs on the grounds that they are unable to provide independent documentation of an eviction or a forced move from unsafe or unhealthful housing;

2. Denying Special Circumstances assistance to otherwise eligible SSI recipients who are unable to immediately secure permanent housing and whose unmet shelter need is for temporary shelter;

3. Denying Special Circumstances assistance to otherwise eligible SSI recipients who reside in rental housing to enable such persons to prevent eviction and remain in their present housing;

4. Failing to employ reasonable means of giving notice of the availability to Special Circumstances assistance to all SSI recipients; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendant shall effectuate this Order as follows:

1. Within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order, defendant shall, in consultation with counsel for plaintiffs, prepare a plan reasonably calculated to provide effective notice to SSI recipients of the Special Circumstance Program. In the event the parties are unable to agree on the content of such plan, either party may by noticed motion seek additional relief

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 -

8

28

26

27

· 1	
2	from this court.
3	The Court finds that plaintiffs are indigent; accordingly no
4	bond shall be required. SEP 24 1990
5	Dated: HOWARD SCHWARTZ
6	DEMETRIOS P. AGRETELIS
7	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT PURSUANT TO C.C.P. 635
8	DEMETRIOS AGRETELIS
9	for Hon. judge
- 10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	· · ·
. 19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20 27	
· 28	
20	